Moving Forward: Conclusions and Recommendations

Mapping a Better Future: Spatial Analysis and Pro-Poor Live-stock Strategies in Uganda illustrates how poverty maps can be combined with livestock-related maps to create new indicators and information that can guide future investments to reduce poverty and strengthen the livestock sector. The examples demonstrate how to classify and map livestock systems by type of livestock, market accessibility, livestock disease risk, and poverty profile, and how the analysis can in turn help to identify priority regions or communities for pro-poor livestock management interventions.

By integrating and conducting spatial analyses on live-stock and poverty data, Ugandan analysts can strengthen livestock investments and poverty reduction efforts. Similarly, given that analysts already have the data available to conduct such work, Ugandan decision-makers can demand additional analytical returns for their data investments, such as agricultural census data collection or geographic referencing of livestock markets. The examples presented here demonstrate how examination of spatial relationships between poverty, livestock systems, location of livestock services such as dairy cooling plants, and livestock disease 'hotspots' can provide new information to help craft more effective—and more evidence-based—investments and poverty reduction efforts.

CONCLUSIONS

The process of compiling the data, producing the maps, and analyzing the map overlays has shown that:

- Analysts working with the Uganda Bureau of Statistics, the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, and other collaborators can combine poverty maps with maps of livestock systems and distributions, milk surplus and deficit areas, and areas of high disease risk to highlight relationships that might not otherwise be obvious.
- From these map overlays, analysts can create new indicators and maps juxtaposing levels of poverty and the type and levels of livestock production.

- Analysts can use these indicators and maps to select geographic areas with specific poverty and livestock profiles for pro-poor targeting.
- Decision-makers can use these new indicators and maps to make more informed and transparent choices when prioritizing investments in the livestock sector and to communicate these priorities to the public.
- These new indicators and maps can help bring together and inform decision-makers from different sectors (e.g., livestock and human health) on complex problems such as diseases that affect both people and livestock (such as sleeping sickness).

While the maps and analyses in this report are primarily designed to demonstrate the value to decision-makers of combining social and livestock-related information, they also support the following conclusions:

Maps showing milk surplus and deficit areas can highlight geographic differences in market opportunities for poor dairy farmers. This information can help policymakers, dairy researchers, and development agencies to better target knowledge dissemination, market infrastructure investments, and service delivery to dairy farmers.

- Milk surplus areas About 3.5 million poor people live in subcounties identified as producing more milk than their residents consume (based on maps in this report). Development strategies in these subcounties could aim to improve market infrastructure and reduce market transaction costs.
- Milk deficit areas Approximately 0.8 million poor people live in areas where the demand for milk is greater than the supply (based on maps in this report). Interventions that target increasing production (e.g., capacity building efforts, improved service delivery) could be beneficial in these areas.

Maps showing animal (and human) disease risk by livestock system at the subcounty level can help inform the choice of the most appropriate control approach.

- The impact of disease on livestock, and more importantly on the keepers of those livestock, differs geographically because the role of livestock in peoples' livelihoods varies among production systems. Provision of animal health services varies across systems, thus the optimal choice of disease control approach will need to vary.
- The benefits of trypanosomiasis control are likely to be greatest in the mixed humid and sub-humid systems: these areas have the largest absolute numbers of cattle, the greatest numbers of poor people, and the greatest densities of poor people.

Mapping poverty, livestock systems, and distribution of disease vectors such as tsetse fly can pinpoint poverty patterns within disease risk areas. This can help to increase understanding of how a disease affects the owners of livestock in terms of livelihoods, welfare, and food security.

Some 1.9 million poor live in humid and sub-humid mixed crop-livestock farming areas infested by tsetse fly, compared to around 0.4 million poor living in the other livestock systems. However, the percentage of poor is much higher in these other systems, such as pastoral systems.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The primary objective of this publication is to demonstrate with examples how census and poverty maps can be combined with dairy market and livestock disease information to produce new indicators and maps. The publication also seeks to catalyze the production of new and improved analyses and greater use of the resulting information in decision-making. Central and local government agencies can increase the likelihood of more evidence-based decision-making by intervening on the supply side to make more and better information available, and on the demand side to increase the use of these maps and analyses in government planning.

Strengthening the supply of high-quality spatial data and analytical capacity will provide broad returns for future planning and prioritization of livestock sector and poverty reduction efforts. Priority actions to achieve this include:

 Fill important livestock data gaps, regularly update data, and continue supplying poverty data for small administrative areas.

Future planning could be improved with more precise livestock data from the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal

Industry and Fisheries (such as the 2008 National Livestock Census) and other important livestock production indicators such as the location of livestock markets and service providers, especially if they are available for small administrative areas and are updated regularly. Regular updates of detailed poverty maps for small administrative areas is essential for tracking progress of poverty reduction efforts and to support propoor targeting of resources, both for central and local government institutions.

Strengthen data integration, mapping, and analysis. Compared to the financial resources spent on data collection, fewer resources have been earmarked to analyze and communicate the data from the various sources explored in this publication. To create a fuller picture of the human-livestock relationship, it is important that different data relative to livestock, disease, and other socioeconomic data are made compatible and can be analyzed together. The in-house technical and analytical capacity within the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries and other government institutions to extract, map, interpret, and communicate these data requires strengthening through regular and focused training. Such training needs to foster a more integrated approach that promotes understanding of the whole livestock production system and how the components of this system interact and relate to each other.

Promoting the demand for such indicators and spatial analyses will require leadership from several government agencies. Actions in the following three areas carry the promise of linking the supply of new maps and analyses with specific decision-making opportunities:

- Incorporate poverty information in livestock-related interventions and in regular performance reporting for the livestock sector.
 - This publication provides examples of how poverty maps can enrich analyses for the livestock sector and lead to more precise geographic targeting. Follow-up analyses by the Animal Resources Directorate in the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries can build on these examples and include other variables that are relevant to prioritizing livestock-related interventions (e.g., costs, efficiency, equity).
 - There is a wide range of institutions in the livestock sector (National Agricultural Research Organization, National Agricultural Advisory Services, Dairy Development Authority, and others) that can work more closely with the Uganda Bureau of Statistics and the Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development to discuss the pros and cons of different livestock investment prioritization criteria for national and local planners and local community representatives.

- Future performance reporting for the livestock sector could include a poverty profile identifying the benefits that low-income families have received from livestock investments. For example, communities that report a growth in livestock assets and greater access to livestock-related services could break out how these benefits have been distributed by income level.
- Incorporate livestock sector information into poverty reduction efforts.
 - Improved access to livestock, markets, and livestock services will affect well-being, livelihoods, and economic development. Therefore, strategic investments to improve livestock infrastructure and service delivery could provide broad benefits reaching far beyond the livestock sector. The Ministry of Finance, Planning and Economic Development could collaborate with the institutions in the livestock sector to identify communities that are near a critical threshold where additional investment could bring widespread benefits at the community level. Such a threshold could be defined by the community's current livestock assets and other community indicators reflecting well-being. Based on such an assessment, district and local communi-
- ties could then work with the Central Government to lobby for changes in recurrent and development budgets (both from the Central Government and District Local Government). These new funds could be used to design geographically targeted campaigns to boost livestock service delivery and improve livestock production and marketing performance in priority communities.
- Incorporate poverty maps and maps of livestock systems, disease risk, etc. into local decision-making.
 - The underlying data and maps discussed in this publication are in most cases detailed enough to be useful in local decision-making. However, many local decision-makers still have difficulty accessing these data, conducting such analyses, and applying the findings to planning efforts. Initially, the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries and the GIS unit at the Uganda Bureau of Statistics could provide technical and analytical support to a few pilot districts to incorporate poverty information into the design of livestock interventions. Later, such support could be given to all districts through ongoing and planned local government capacity-building programs.



References

ASHLEY, S. AND W. NANYEENYA. 2002. More Than Income: Pro-Poor Livestock Development Policy in Uganda. Livelihoods and Diversity Directions Explored by Research (LADDER) Working Paper No.8. United Kingdom Department for International Development (DFID), London, United Kingdom.

Baltenweck, I. 2010. International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya. Personal communication.

Baltenweck, I., S. Mubiru, W. Nanyeenya, L. Njoroge, N. Halberg, D. Romney, and S. Staal. 2007. Dairy Production in Uganda: Production Efficiency and Soil Management Strategies under Different Farming Systems. ILRI Research Report 1. International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya. Online at http://hdl. handle.net/10568/257 (last access 05/03/10)

Brakenridge, G.R., E. Anderson, and S. Caquard. 2006. Global Active Archive of Large Flood Events. Data selected for Lake Victoria. Hanover, New Hampshire, USA: Dartmouth Flood Observatory. Online at http://www.dartmouth.edu/~floods (last access 05/03/10)

BURKE W.J., T.S. JAYNE, H.A. FREEMAN, AND P. KRISTJANSON. 2007. Factors Associated with Farm Households' Movement Into and Out of Poverty in Kenya: The Rising Importance of Livestock. Michigan State University International Development Working Paper No. 90, with International Livestock Research Institute. East Lansing, Michigan, USA: Michigan State University. Online at http://www.aec.msu.edu/fs2/papers/idwp90.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

THE CENTER FOR FOOD SECURITY AND PUBLIC HEALTH (CFSPH) AND INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN ANIMAL BIOLOGICS (IICAB). 2009. African Animal Trypanosomiasis. Ames, Iowa, USA: Iowa State University. Online at http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/trypanosomiasis_african.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

DAIRY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (DDA). 2002. Annual Report 2001/2002. For a Dynamic, Regulated, Profitable and Sustainable Dairy Industry. T.V. Mwesigwa, and S. Mikenga (eds). Kampala, Uganda: DDA.

EAST AFRICA DAIRY DEVELOPMENT (EADD). 2008. East African Dairy Development (EADD) Project – Uganda Launched. Online at http://www.eadairy.org/spaw2/uploads/files/EADD%20Uganda%20 Newsletter%20Issue1.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

ELLIS F. AND G. BAHIIGWA. 2003. Livelihoods and Rural Poverty Reduction in Uganda. World Development 31 (6): 997-1013.

EMERGENCY PREVENTION SYSTEM (EMPRES). 2010. FAO AIDEnews Animal Influenza Disease Emergency, Situation Update 65, 9 April 2010. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Online at http://www.fao.org/docrep/012/ak726e/ak726e00.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

Fèvre, E.M., K. Picozzi, J.J. Fyfe, C. Waiswa, M. Odiit, P.G. Coleman, and S.C. Welburn. 2005. A Burgeoning Epidemic of Sleeping Sickness in Uganda. *Lancet* 366:745-747.

Gerber, P., G.J. Carsjens, T. Pak-uthai, and T.P. Robinson. 2008. Decision Support for Spatially Targeted Livestock Policies: Diverse Examples from Uganda and Thailand. *Agricultural Systems* 96: 37–51.

Hargrove, J.W., S.J. Torr, and H.M. Kindness. 2003. Insecticide-Treated Cattle Against Tsetse (Diptera: Glossinidae): What Governs Success? *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 93, 203–217.

HEIFER INTERNATIONAL. 2008. Heifer International East Africa Dairy Development Project Fact Sheet. Online at http://www.heifer.org/atf/cf/%7BE384D2DB-8638-47F3-A6DB-68BE45A16EDC%7D/Heifer%20EADD%20Fact%20Sheet.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

HIDE, G., A. TAIT, I. MAUDLIN, AND S.C. WELBURN. 1996. The Origins, Dynamics and Generation of *Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense* Epidemics in East Africa. *Parasitology Today* 12:50-55.

International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). 2002. 2002 Land Management Household Survey - Livestock GIS Database. Kampala, Uganda: IFPRI.

International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI). 2009. East Africa Dairy Development Hubs GIS Database. Nairobi, Kenya:

Jones, P.G. and P.K. Thornton. 2005. Global LGP Dataset. Nairobi, Kenya: International Livestock Research Institute.

JORGENSEN, P. 2005. Poverty Targeting in Kenya Water and Sanitation Programme. Presentation to Danida Water Sector Workshop in Accra, Ghana 1st Feb. Online at www.danidadevforum. um.dk/NR/rdonlyres/98EDA6E8-0CA7-4C85-9885-A67924C3B25F/0/2006WP_PovertyKenya.doc (last access 05/03/10)

Krishna, A., D. Lumonya, M. Markiewcz, F. Mugumya, A. Kafuko, and J. Wegoye. 2006. Escaping Poverty and Becoming Poor in 36 Villages of Central and Western Uganda. *Journal of Development Studies* 42 (2): 346-370.

Kristjanson, P., A. Krishna, M. Radeny, and W. Nindo. 2004. Pathways out of Poverty in Western Kenya and the Role of Livestock. Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative Working Paper. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Online at http://www.fao.org/ag/againfo/programmes/en/pplpi/docarc/wp14.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

LIVESTOCK IN DEVELOPMENT (LID). 1999. Livestock in Poverty-Focused Development. Crewkerne, United Kingdom: LID.

MAGEZI-APUULI, J.B. 2000. Integrated Framework for the Development of Agricultural Statistics in Uganda. Kampala, Uganda: Uganda Bureau of Statistics. Online at http://www.nass.usda.gov/mexsai/Papers/ugandap.doc (last access 05/03/10)

MATTHEWS, A., P. CLAQUIN, AND J. OPOLOT. 2007. Distortions to Agricultural Incentives in Uganda. Agricultural Distortions Working Paper 51. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank. Online at http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTTRADERESEARCH/Resources/544824-1146153362267/Uganda_0708.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

MILLENNIUM ECOSYSTEM ASSESSMENT (MA). 2005. Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Synthesis. Washington, DC, USA: Island Press. Online at http://www.millenniumassessment.org/documents/document.356.aspx.pdf (last access 05/26/10)

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, ANIMAL INDUSTRY AND FISHERIES (MAAIF) AND MINISTRY OF FINANCE, PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (MFPED). 2000. Plan for Modernisation of Agriculture. Eradicating Poverty in Uganda. Kampala, Uganda: MAAIF and MFPED.

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, ANIMAL INDUSTRY AND FISHERIES (MAAIF) AND UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). *Livestock Census Report*. Kampala, Uganda: MAAIF and UBOS. To be published.

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, ANIMAL INDUSTRY AND FISHERIES (MAAIF) AND UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). 2009. 2008 Uganda Agricultural Census GIS Database. Kampala, Uganda: MAAIF and UBOS.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE, PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (MFPED). 2009. National Budget Framework Papers (FY 2009/10 – FY 2013/14). Kampala, Uganda: MFPED. Online at http://www.finance.go.ug/docs/National%20BFP%20April%203%2017.30.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

MINISTRY OF FINANCE, PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (MFPED). 2004. Poverty Eradication Action Plan (2004/5–2007/8). Kampala, Uganda: MFPED. Online at http://www.finance.go.ug/docs/PEAP%202005%20Apr.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

NATIONAL FOREST AUTHORITY (NFA). 1996. Land Cover GIS Database. Kampala, Uganda: NFA.

NATIONAL IMAGERY AND MAPPING AGENCY (NIMA). 1997. Vector Map Level 0 (Digital Chart of the World), 3rd Edition. Fairfax, Virginia, USA: NIMA.

NATIONAL PLANNING AUTHORITY (NPA). 2010. National Development Plan 2010/11 – 2014/15. Kampala, Uganda: NPA.

Okino, P. 2009. Sleeping Sickness Kills 18 in Dokolo. *New Vision*, Wednesday, 11th March, 2009. Online at http://www.newvision.co.ug/D/8/13/674277 (last access 05/03/10)

Perry, B. and D. Grace. 2009. The Impacts of Livestock Diseases and Their Control on Growth and Development Processes that are Pro-Poor. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 364: 2643-2655.

Pfeiffer, D., T. Robinson, M. Stevenson, K. Stevens, D. Rogers, and A. Clements. 2008. *Spatial Analysis in Epidemiology*. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press.

Picozzi, K., E.M. Fèvre, M. Odiit, M. Carrington, M. Eisler, I. Maudlin, and S. Welburn. 2005. Sleeping Sickness in Uganda: A Thin Line between Two Fatal Diseases. *British Medical Journal* 331: 1238–1241.

RANDOLPH, T.F., E. SCHELLING, D. GRACE, C.F. NICHOLSON, J.L. LEROY, D.C. COLE, M.W. DEMMENT, A. OMORE, J. ZINSSTAG, AND M. RUEL. 2007. Role of Livestock in Human Nutrition and Health for Poverty Reduction in Developing Countries. *Journal of Animal Science* 85(11): 2788-2800. Online at http://jas.fass.org/cgi/reprint/85/11/2788?maxtoshow=&hits=10&RESULTFORMAT=&author1=Randolph&andorexactfulltext=and&searchid=1&FIRSTIN DEX=0&sortspec=relevance&resourcetype=HWCIT (last access 05/03/10)

ROBINSON, T., D.J. ROGERS, AND B. WILLIAMS. 1997. Mapping Tsetse Habitat Suitability in the Common Fly Belt of Southern Africa Using Multivariate Analysis of Climate and Remotely Sensed Vegetation Data. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 11: 235–245.

ROGERS, D.J. AND T.P. ROBINSON. 2004. Tsetse Distribution. In: Maudlin, I., P. Holmes, and M. Miles (eds.) *Trypanosomiases*. Wallingford, United Kingdom: CABI Publishing.

SERÉ C. AND H. STEINFELD. 1996. World Livestock Production Systems: Current Status, Issues and Trends. FAO Animal Production and Health Paper 127. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

Shaw, A., S. Torr, C. Waiswa. and T. Robinson. 2007. Comparable Costings of Alternatives for Dealing with Tsetse: Estimates for Uganda. FAO Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative. PPLPI Working Paper 40. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Online at http://www.fao.org/ag/againfo/programmes/en/pplpi/docarc/wp40.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

Shaw, A., G. Hendrickx, M. Gilbert, R. Mattioli, V. Codjia, B. Dao, O. Diall, C. Mahama, I. Sidibé, and W. Wint. 2006. *Mapping the Benefits: A Decision Tool for Tsetse and Trypanosomosis Interventions*. Research Report, Department for International Development, Animal Health Programme, Centre for Tropical Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinburgh, and Programme Against African Trypanosomiasis, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Edinburgh, United Kingdom: University of Edinburgh.

SILKIN, T. AND F. KASIRYE. 2002. Veterinary Services in the Horn of Africa, Where Are We Now? A Review of Animal Health Policies and Institutions Focusing in Pastoral Areas. Pan African Programme for the Control of Epizootics, Community-based Animal Health and Participatory Epidemiology Unit and African Union's InterAfrican Bureau for Animal Resources.

STAAL, S.J. 2004. The Demand for Dairy Products in Kenya. Smallholder Dairy Project Policy Brief 1. Nairobi, Kenya: International Livestock Research Institute. Online at http://www.smallholderdairy.org/publications/Policy%20briefs/SDP%20BRIEF%201%20-FINAL%20R.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

STAAL S.J. AND W.N. KAGUONGO. 2003. The Ugandan Dairy Sub-Sector Targeting Development Opportunities. Nairobi, Kenya: International Livestock Research Institute. Online at http://www.foodnet.cgiar.org/SCRIP/docs&databases/scrip_II_outputs2001/pdfs/The%20Ugandan%20Dairy%20Subsector%20Staal%20 and%20Kaguongo%2002.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

THORNTON P.K., R.L. KRUSKA, N. HENNINGER, P.M. KRISTJANSON, R.S. REID, F. ATIENO, A.N. ODERO, AND T. NDEGWA. 2002. Mapping Poverty and Livestock in the Developing World. Nairobi, Kenya: International Livestock Research Institute.

THURANIRA, C. 2005. Socio-Economic Factors Influencing Livestock Keeping Dynamics in a Smallholder Crop-Livestock System in Western Kenya. PhD thesis. Edinburgh, United Kingdom: University of Edinburgh.

Turner, R.L. 2005. Livestock, Liberalization and Democracy: Constraints and Opportunities for Rural Livestock Producers in a Reforming Uganda. FAO Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative (PPLPI) Working Paper No. 29. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). Online at http://www.fao.org/ag/againfo/programmes/en/pplpi/docarc/wp29.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). 2009. Statistical Abstract. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS. Online at http://www.ubos.org/onlinefiles/uploads/ubos/pdf%20documents/2009Statistical_%20 Abstract.pdf (last access 05/03/10)

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). 2007. 2002 Uganda Population and Housing Census, Census Atlas. Mapping Socio-Economic Indicators for National Development. Kampala, Uganda: LIBOS

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). 2006a. Uganda Administrative Boundaries GIS Database. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS.

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). 2006b. *Uganda National Household Survey* 2005/2006, *Report on the Socio-Economic Module*. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS.

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). 2004. Report on the Agriculture Module, Piggy-Backed onto the Population and Housing Census 2002. Entebbe, Uganda: UBOS.

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). 2002a. Uganda Administrative Boundaries GIS Database. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS.

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS). 2002b. 2002 Uganda Population and Housing Census GIS Database. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS.

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS) AND INTERNATIONAL LIVESTOCK RESEARCH INSTITUTE (ILRI). 2008. 2005 Poverty GIS Database. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS.

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS) AND INTERNATIONAL LIVESTOCK RESEARCH INSTITUTE (ILRI). 2007. Nature, Distribution and Evolution of Poverty and Inequality in Uganda. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS and ILRI. Online at http://www.ilri.org/ILRIPubAware/ShowDetail.asp?CategoryID=TS&ProductReferenceNo=TS_071224_001 (last access 05/03/08)

UGANDA BUREAU OF STATISTICS (UBOS) AND INTERNATIONAL LIVESTOCK RESEARCH INSTITUTE (ILRI). 2004. Where are the Poor? Mapping Patterns of Well-Being in Uganda. Kampala, Uganda: UBOS and ILRI. Online at http://www.ilri.org/ILRIPubAware/ShowDetail.asp?CategoryID=TS&ProductReferenceNo=TS_051101_002 (last access 05/03/10)

Welburn, S.C., K. Picozzi, E.M. Fèvre, P.G. Coleman, M. Odiit, M. Carrington, and I. Maudlin. 2001. Identification of Human-Infective Trypanosomes in Animal Reservoir of Sleeping Sickness in Uganda by Means of Serum-Resistance-Associated (SRA) Gene. *Lancet* 358: 2017–2019.

WINT, W. 2001. Kilometre Resolution Tsetse Fly Distribution Maps for the Lake Victoria Basin and West Africa. Report by the Environmental Research Group Oxford (ERGO). Vienna, Austria: FAO/IAEA Joint division.

WINT, W. AND T. ROBINSON. 2007. Gridded Livestock of the World, 2007. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).

World Health Organization (WHO). 2006. Fact Sheet No. 259 on Trypanosomiasis (updated). Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. Online at http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs259/en/ (last access 05/03/10)



Acknowledgments

Mapping a Better Future: Spatial Analysis and Pro-Poor Livestock Strategies in Uganda was possible because of financial support from the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency; the Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs; Irish Aid at the Department of Foreign Affairs; the United States Agency for International Development; the Rockefeller Foundation; the International Livestock Research Institute; and the Danish International Development Agency at the Ministry of Foreign Affairs. We deeply appreciate their support. A special thank you goes to Mats Segnestam, Michael Colby, and Carrie Stokes for their early interest in poverty and ecosystem mapping and their consistent support for this work in East Africa.

We wish to express our gratitude to the following institutions that contributed generously with data, maps, staff, or expert advice: the Livestock Health and Entomology Department at the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Uganda; the Uganda Bureau of Statistics; the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; and the International Livestock Research Institute.

This publication is the result of many efforts—large and small—of a larger team. We would like to express our appreciation to: Dan Tunstall for his ideas, guidance, and encouragement throughout the project; Patti Kristjanson for her early support and full involvement with the completion of the publication; Tim Robinson for his significant contribution and institutional support; Joseph Opio and Nancy Johnson for ensuring administrative and institutional support; Paul Okwi for his ideas, technical advice, persistence, and diplomatic skills that helped to overcome many obstacles; John B. Male-Mukasa for his guidance and institutional support; Nicholas Kauta, George Otim, and Chris Rutebarika for being early champions; and Joseph Opio and Felix Wamono for sharing their technical expertise.

A special thank you goes to Paul Okwi, John Owuor, Thomas Emwanu, and Bernard Justus Muhwezi for producing the latest poverty data and extracting the sanitation data; Isabelle Baltenweck and Pamela Ochungo for providing data related to dairy production; Federica Chiozza and Tim Robinson for providing livestock disease data; and Bernard Justus Muhwezi for preparing administrative boundary data files and extracting spatial indicators on livestock. Their efforts provided the spatial datasets from which we derived the final maps.

The report has greatly benefited from the writing and editing skills of the following individuals: Nelson Mango for producing the first internal draft manuscript; Patti Kristjanson and Tim Robinson for dedicating a substantial amount of time to produce the sections on Dairy and Poverty, and Livestock Diseases and Poverty, respectively; Greg Mock for competently incorporating external review comments and editing from the first to the last word; Polly Ghazi for crucial writing and editing support, especially on the executive summary, preface, and foreword; Hyacinth Billings for copyediting and guidance on the production process; and Douglas Ikong'o and Nancy Johnson for guiding the publication through its final production stage in Nairobi.

We are very grateful to Self Help Africa and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations for granting permission to use their livestock images and thank the individuals who agreed to be photographed. It has been a pleasure working with Maggie Powell on layout and production. We thank the staff at Regal Press in Nairobi for a timely and efficient printing process.

We would like to thank Jennie Hommel and Janet Ranganathan for organizing a smoothly run review process. We have greatly benefited from our reviewers who provided timely and detailed comments on various drafts of the text and the maps: Florence Kasirye (formerly at the Dairy Development Authority, Uganda); Bruno Yawe at Makarere University; Nicholas Kauta and Joseph Opio at the Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Uganda; Thomas Emwanu and Bernard Justus Muhwezi at the Uganda Bureau of Statistics; Joachim Otte and Tim Robinson at the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; Isabelle Baltenweck and Nancy Johnson at the International Livestock Research Institute; Patti Kristjanson at the World Agroforestry Centre (formerly at the International Livestock Research Institute); Paul Okwi at the International Development Research Centre (formerly at the International Livestock Research Institute); Lauriane Boisrobert (formerly at the World Resources Institute); and Craig Hanson, Janet Ranganathan, Dan Tunstall, and Peter Veit at the World Resources Institute.

Without implicating them in any way, we thank them for their comments that helped to improve this document. We retain full responsibility for any remaining errors of fact or interpretation.

F.L. and N.H.



MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE, ANIMAL INDUSTRY AND FISHERIES, UGANDA

Plot 14-18 Lugard Avenue

P.O. Box 102 Entebbe, Uganda

www.agriculture.go.ug

The Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries provides an enabling environment in which a profitable, competitive, dynamic and sustainable agricultural and agro-industrial sector can develop. It supports, promotes and guides the production of crops, livestock and fish, in order to ensure improved quality and increased quantity of agricultural produce and products for local consumption, food security and export.



LIGANDA RURFALLOF STATISTICS

Plot 9 Colville Street P.O. Box 7186 Kampala, Uganda www.ubos.org

The Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS), established in 1998 as a semi-autonomous governmental agency, is the central statistical office of Uganda. Its mission is to continuously build and develop a coherent, reliable, efficient, and demand-driven National Statistical System to support management and development initiatives.



FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS

Viale delle Terme di Caracalla 00153 Rome, Italy www.fao.org

The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) leads international efforts to defeat hunger Serving both developed and developing countries, FAO acts as a neutral forum where all nations meet as equals to negotiate agreements and debate policy. FAO is also a source of knowledge and information. It helps developing countries and countries in transition modernize and improve agriculture, forestry and fisheries practices and ensure good nutrition for all.



INTERNATIONAL LIVESTOCK RESEARCH INSTITUTE

P.O. Box 30709 Nairobi 00100, Kenya www.ilri.org

The International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) works at the intersection of livestock and poverty, bringing high-quality science and capacity-building to bear on poverty reduction and sustainable development. ILRI's strategy is to place poverty at the centre of an output-oriented agenda focusing on three livestock mediated pathways out of poverty: (1) securing the assets of the poor; (2) improving the productivity of livestock systems; and (3) improving market opportunities.



WORLD RESOURCES INSTITUTE

10 G Street NE, Suite 800 Washington DC 20002, USA

www.wri.ord

The World Resources Institute (WRI) is an environment and development think tank that goes beyond research to find practical ways to protect the earth and improve people's lives. WRI's mission is to move human society to live in ways that protect Earth's environment and its capacity to provide for the needs and aspirations of current and future generations. Because people are inspired by ideas, empowered by knowledge, and moved to change by greater understanding, WRI provides—and helps other institutions provide—objective information and practical proposals for policy and institutional change that will foster environmentally sound, socially equitable development.

Ministry of Agriculture, Animal Industry and Fisheries, Uganda
Uganda Bureau of Statistics
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
International Livestock Research Institute
World Resources Institute





