1. Forest Tenure Indicators

Forest tenure shapes the relationship between people with respect to forests by defining who can use what resources, for how long, and under what conditions. Clear and secure forest tenure is widely believed to be a key enabling condition for sustainable management of forests. The forest tenure indicators are divided into four subthemes:

- 1.1 Forest ownership and use rights (hereafter called "forest tenure rights") refers to the entire bundle of forest-related property rights that may be held individually or communally in a country, including rights of land ownership and secondary rights to access, use, and manage forest resources.
- 1.2 **Tenure dispute resolution** refers to the efforts made by judicial, administrative, and/or community-based entities to resolve conflicts arising between individuals or groups with respect to forest tenure rights.
- 1.3 **State forests** are forest lands owned by the government. They may be obtained by the government through purchase or expropriation (also known as compulsory acquisition or eminent domain), and in other cases they may be designated as state forest if presumed not to belong to anybody else. This designation may be reversed by selling or giving away the land or changing the status of the land to nonforest.
- **Concession allocation** refers to the process whereby the government confers 1.4 significant use rights in state forests to a private entity through a contractual agreement. The agreement may be referred to as a concession, license, permit, or other contract type and often relates to commercial forest exploitation, agricultural, or mining activities.

1.1 Forest ownership and use rights

1. Legal recognition of forest tenure rights

To what extent does the legal framework for forest tenure recognize a broad spectrum of existing forest tenure rights and rights-holders?

Indicator Guidance:

Forest tenure involves a bundle of rights that includes the rights to access, withdraw, and manage land and resources, and exclude others from these activities. Full ownership of forest land typically bestows this entire bundle of rights upon the owner. Rights can be individually or communally held, and may derive from customary systems of resource management. The objective of this indicator is to evaluate the spectrum of tenure rights granted by the law. To apply this indicator, researchers should review national laws on land rights and forest tenure. Legislation may include national constitutions, land tenure laws, forest laws, and implementing regulations related to land registration and titling. Different sets of rights will be stipulated in different types of legislation. For example, rights to land ownership may be set out in land laws, while forest laws may also establish tenure rights related to management or use of forests (e.g., community forest management, forest concession systems).

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Individual rights. The forest	Researchers should review laws to identify all types of individual
	tenure rights held by individuals	rights (e.g., ownership, access, withdrawal, management) that
	and households are recognized in	are officially recognized. They should describe the relative
	the legal framework.	strength of these provisions, including whether general
		statements of recognizing rights are supported by specific rules
		and procedures to ensure their implementation.
2.	Communal rights. The forest	Researchers should review laws to determine the types of
	tenure rights collectively held by	communal rights that are officially recognized. Researchers
	local communities and other	should describe any relevant rules or limitations with respect to
	relevant groups are recognized in	the types of communal rights that are recognized; for example,
	the legal framework.	whether property can be communally owned or whether rights
		are limited to access or management. Communal rights may be
		allocated to a village, traditional authority, or community user
		group.
3.	Traditional rights. The forest	This element of quality primarily applies in countries with
	tenure rights traditionally held by	groups that self-identify as indigenous peoples and/or have
	indigenous peoples and other	formal customary systems. Researchers should identify whether
	groups with customary tenure	traditionally held rights to forest lands and resources are
	systems are recognized in the	officially recognized by the legal framework. These may be
	legal framework.	recognized via Constitution (e.g., Panama, Venezuela) or through
		separate laws such as the national indigenous rights law in the
		Philippines. Researchers should describe the relative strength of
		these provisions, including whether general statements of
		recognizing rights are supported by specific rules and procedures
		to ensure their implementation.
4.	Rights of women. The legal	Researchers should assess whether the legal framework for
	framework does not discriminate	tenure explicitly recognizes women's rights to own, manage,
	against the forest tenure rights of	and/or access land. They should also note any restrictions in
1	women.	relation to women's land rights, for example in owning land,
		inheriting property, or retaining land assets during marriage or

in cases of divorce. Where relevant, researchers may also wish to
review customary rules regarding women's access to land, such
as whether they can own or inherit forest land.

Object of assessment:	. Legal recognition of forest tenure rights Object of assessment:						
EOQ	Y/N	Explana	tion				
Individual rights	,	•					
Communal rights							
Traditional rights							
Rights of women							
Additional notes							
Values						Select	
Not applicable/assessed							
Zero to one elements of quality						Low	
Two elements of quality						Medium	
Three elements of quality						Medium-High	
Four elements of quality						High	
Documentation:							
Researcher name and organi	zation	:					
Secondary sources:							
Record the following: document of							
author or organization, date publ	ished, c	hapter or					
page, website (if relevant)							
Primary sources:							
For each of the above conducted, record:							
- Interviewee/participant name(s) and title							
- Institution/company/organization							
-Location and date of interview							

2. Legal support and protection of forest tenure rights

To what extent does the legal framework promote and protect the exercise of forest tenure rights?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator seeks to evaluate the clarity and comprehensiveness of the legal framework for forest tenure, particularly in terms of protecting and supporting rights. It can be applied to multiple types of rights (e.g., individual, communal, customary) to assess whether a given type of right or rights-holder is adequately supported and protected under the law. Researchers should identify the type(s) of right(s) that should be assessed to meet the goals of the assessment. For example, researchers may want to compare support for individual vs. communal rights in forested areas. Researchers should review national legislation regarding land rights and forest tenure. Legislation may include national constitutions, land tenure laws, forest laws, and implementing regulations for land registration and titling.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Clarity. The legal framework defines rights clearly and consistently.	Researchers should review all relevant laws defining rights to land, forests, or trees. They should analyze whether rules governing rights to these resources clearly define the bundle of property rights (e.g., rights of access, withdrawal, management, exclusion, and alienability) that are conferred to a rights-holder. Since land laws and forest laws may define rights to forest land and trees differently, researchers should compare how these laws define rights and identify any potential contradictions or inconsistencies.
2.	Duration. The legal framework defines rights that are of adequate duration.	Researchers should determine whether the rights being assessed are defined as time-limited or endure in perpetuity. While adequate duration will change depending on the type of rights or natural resources, researchers should attempt to evaluate whether the duration of rights is sufficient for the rights-holder to benefit from the right that is defined in law.
3.	Scope. The legal framework defines rights that are of adequate scope.	Researchers should review whether the rights defined in the legal framework are of sufficient scope to allow rights-holders to make long-term decisions about resource management and benefit from the property rights granted to them.
4.	Restrictions. The legal framework does not place unreasonable restrictions on how rights can be exercised.	Researchers should review the legal framework for forest tenure rights for unreasonable restrictions on land ownership or management. Examples may include burdensome restrictions on sales, transfers, or inheritance of land; limitations on which groups can own lands, or overly burdensome administrative procedures for having rights recognized. Researchers should note that some restrictions may be reasonable in the context of certain countries or situations, such as rules designed to protect the lands of indigenous peoples from being sold. Therefore, researchers may wish to consult legal scholars or groups affected by restrictions on tenure rights to assess whether they are considered reasonable.
5•	Protections. The legal framework assures that rights cannot be taken away or changed unilaterally and unfairly, and it	The legal framework should provide certainty that rights cannot be extinguished by the government without some form of due process and compensation. Protection against forced evictions is particularly important for communities without formal rights

protects all citizens against forced evictions and denial of access to essential natural resources.	who are living in public forests. For example, laws may prohibit evictions that render individuals homeless and require all feasible alternatives to be explored prior to carrying out a forced eviction. Dedicated laws on expropriation may also include detailed requirements for notification, consultation, and compensation.
6. Enforcement mechanisms. The legal framework establishes mechanisms to enforce rights and seek redress when rights are not respected.	Mechanisms to enforce rights may include ensuring that rights are formally documented and registered, ensuring that boundaries are clearly demarcated, or setting up dedicated forums for rights-holders to seek redress (e.g., courts, tenure dispute resolution) in instances where rights are not respected. Researchers should review the legal framework for measures that can be used as a basis for enforcing tenure rights.

2. Legal support and protection of forest tenure rights				
Object of assessment:				
EOQ	Z/N	Explanation		
Clarity	1/11	Lipitination		
Duration				
Scope				
Restrictions				
Protections				
Enforcement mechanisms				
Additional notes:		ı		
110000				
Values			Select	
Not applicable/assessed				
Zero to one elements of quality			Low	
Two elements of quality	Low-Medium			
Three elements of quality			Medium	
Four elements of quality			Medium-High	
Five or more elements of quality			High	
Documentation:				
Researcher name and organizat	ion			
Secondary sources:				
Record the following: document or so	ource	e title,		
author or organization, date published	ed, ch	apter or		
page, website (if relevant)				
Primary sources:				
For each of the above conducted, record:				
- Interviewee/participant name(s) and title				
- Institution/company/organization				
-Location and date of interview				

3. Legal basis for adjudication of forest tenure rights

To what extent does the legal framework define a fair and effective process for the adjudication of forest tenure rights?

Indicator Guidance:

Adjudication is the process of final and authoritative determination of existing rights and claims of people to land and/or resources. Adjudication may occur in the context of first time registration of rights, or it may occur to resolve a doubt or dispute after registration. This indicator should be applied to any part of the legal framework that sets out a process for adjudicating tenure claims. Relevant legislation may include land tenure laws, forest laws, implementing regulations related to land administration, or procedural manuals for registering land rights.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Clarity of process. The legal	Adjudication typically entails a series of processes including
	framework defines a clear and	demarcation, resolution of overlapping claims, application
	streamlined process for	review, and final adjudication of rights over land parcels. The
	adjudication.	legal framework should sequentially define these processes,
		including the process for first time registration of rights and, if it
		exists, the process for resolving disputes after registration.
2.	Requirements to identify	Researchers should review the laws to identify any process
	claimants. The legally	related to identifying claimants. Quality procedures should spell
	prescribed process requires that	out proactive efforts to identify claimants rather than relying on
	all existing tenure claims and	them to come forward themselves. Procedures should also clarify
	claimants be identified and	who is responsible for identifying claimants and any rules for
	documented at the outset.	how their claims should be documented.
3.	Requirements to consult	Researchers should identify any legal requirements that potential
	claimants. The legally	claimants be notified and consulted during first time registration
	prescribed process requires that	or adjudication of claims. Laws or procedures may also stipulate
	all identified claimants be fully	how consultants should be notified, such as through public notice
	informed and consulted.	periods, and the manner of consultation.
4.	Criteria to resolve	Researchers should assess whether the laws identify procedures
	overlapping claims. The	or criteria for adjudication of overlapping claims. For example, in
	legally prescribed process	Kenya the Land Adjudication Law allows for a locally appointed
	includes fair procedures and	land committee to resolve overlapping claims through recognized
	criteria for resolving overlapping	customary laws. Criteria for resolving overlapping claims may
	claims.	involve who was residing there first or duration of residence of
		the claimants.

3. Legal basis for adjudication	n of for	est tenui	re rights			
Object of assessment:						
EOQ	Y/N	Explana	ntion			
Clarity of process	=/=:	P				
Requirements to identify						
claimants						
Requirements to consult						
claimants						
Criteria to resolve overlapping						
claims						
Additional notes:	•					
Values					Select	
Not applicable/assessed						
Zero to one elements of quality	Zero to one elements of quality				Low	
Two elements of quality					Medium	
Three elements of quality					Medium-High _	
Four elements of quality					High	
Documentation:						
Researcher name and organ	ization:					
Secondary sources:						
Record the following: document		•				
author or organization, date published, chapter or						
page, website (if relevant)						
Primary sources:						
For each of the above conducted,	record:					
- Interviewee/participant name(s		e				
- Institution/company/organizat						
-Location and date of interview						

4. Forest tenure adjudication in practice

To what extent are forest tenure rights fairly and effectively adjudicated in practice?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator evaluates the process of adjudication on the ground to ensure that it involves transparent consultation of all claimants including vulnerable and marginalized peoples. Adjudication may occur in the context of first time registration of rights, or it may occur to resolve a doubt or dispute after registration. Researchers can apply this indicator to case studies of either type of process (e.g., registering rights or resolving claims), but should clearly identify which type of process is being assessed. Researchers should collect primary data through interviews, focus groups, surveys, or other methods to assess the transparency, inclusiveness, and fairness of the process, including whether relevant legislation on adjudication was respected in practice. Key respondents include those responsible for administering the adjudication process, claimants, or experts with knowledge of adjudication practices.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Identification of claimants.	Researchers should determine whether claimants are identified
	Existing tenure claims and	by the relevant authority at the outset of the adjudication
	claimants are identified and	process. Researchers should also note the basis for identifying
	documented at the outset.	claimants, for example through existing records of land claims,
		field surveys, or submitted applications.
2.	Provision of information.	Researchers should interview land administration staff and
	Claimants are provided with	claimants to determine whether claimants were provided with
	understandable information	clear, comprehensible information regarding the process. They
	about the adjudication process.	should identify how information was shared, and whether it
		reached relevant groups in a timely manner. If possible,
		researchers should assess whether it is provided in relevant
		languages and in comprehensible terms by reviewing relevant
		documentation.
3.	Consultation of claimants.	Researchers should identify relevant claimants in the study area
	Claimants are fully and	and assess whether all groups were informed and consulted,
	effectively consulted.	regardless of race, ethnicity, or socio-economic standing. They
		should assess via interviews or other relevant methods whether
		potentially impacted groups were made aware of the adjudication
		process, understood their current rights under the law, and were
		informed of the potential outcomes of the final determination
		and how to contest that decision if necessary.
4.	Support for vulnerable	Vulnerable or marginalized claimants may lack the knowledge or
	claimants. Vulnerable	expertise to navigate the adjudication process. Through
	claimants have access to legal	interviews with claimants, researchers should identify whether
	and other relevant support as	technical or legal support has been provided. Support services
	needed.	may be provided by the land agency, agencies in charge of social
		affairs, or civil society organizations. Support may include help in
		understanding their rights, understanding the adjudication
		process, or documenting claims.
5.	Fairness of outcomes. The	Researchers should assess the final results of the adjudication
	adjudication process does not	process; these may be available in the form of registered rights,
	result in any forced evictions or	reports on the adjudication process, or by interviewing those
	uncompensated loss of legitimate	involved. Interviews should also determine whether final
	rights.	decisions resulted in any displacements or reductions of rights

		(such as limiting access to non-timber forest products or cultural
		forests) without compensation.
6.	Access to redress. Claimants	Researchers should identify whether redress mechanisms
	have access to effective redress	provide claimants with specific avenues for disputing final
	mechanisms if their rights are	adjudication decisions. Easily accessible channels (e.g., help
	not respected.	desk, phone hotline, local office, or email) should be made
		available for claimants to file complaints and appeals. These
		should be recorded and addressed in a timely manner by
		acknowledging receipt, providing written response, and detailing
		resolutions or next steps.

4. Forest tenure adjudication in practice						
Object of assessment:						
EOQ	Y/N	Explanat	ion			
Identification of claimants						
Provision of information						
Consultation of claimants						
Support for vulnerable claimants						
Fairness of outcomes						
Access to redress						
Additional notes:						
Values					Select	
Not applicable/assessed						
Zero to one elements of quality					Low	
Two elements of quality					Low-Medium	
Three elements of quality					Medium	
Four elements of quality					Medium-High	
Five or more elements of quality					High	
Documentation:						
Researcher name and organiz	ation:					
Secondary sources:						
Record the following: document or		•				
	author or organization, date published, chapter or					
page, website (if relevant)						
Primary sources:						
For each of the above conducted, re						
- Interviewee/participant name(s)	e					
- Institution/company/organization						
-Location and date of interview						

5. Legal basis for administration³ of forest tenure rights

To what extent does the legal framework provide for fair and effective administration of forest tenure rights?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator focuses on the legal elements that ensure effective administration of forest tenure rights. With respect to rights of ownership, administration may include activities such as titling, registering, surveying, demarcating, and transferring rights. In the case of usufruct rights, administration may include allocating permits, licenses, or other types of forest use contracts. This indicator can be applied in two different ways. Researchers may assess one specific service such as registration of land titles, or can assess all relevant services and try to assess the broader picture. In either case, legislation regarding the administration of forest tenure rights should be collected, reviewed, and evaluated. Relevant legislation may include land tenure laws, forest laws, implementing regulations related to land administration, or procedural manuals for registering land rights.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Comprehensiveness. The legal framework comprehensively regulates all types of administrative services necessary to recognize and support existing forest tenure rights.	Administration is implemented through sets of procedures, including those that define how rights can be transferred, how lands are surveyed and boundaries demarcated, how forested lands can be used, and how lands are taxed. Rules should include clear guidance for how each of these procedures is carried out.
2.	Simplicity. Legally prescribed administrative procedures avoid unnecessary complexity and minimize opportunities for administrative discretion.	Complex procedures could include requiring multiple official approvals or time-consuming steps. Administrative discretion refers to professional judgment, rather than strict adherence to regulations. Such discretion may lead to abuse of authority or inconsistency in administrative actions. The legal framework should stipulate clear regulations to minimize complexity and discretion in administrative procedures.
3.	Fairness. Fees and other legally prescribed requirements are reasonable and affordable for the majority of customers.	Requirements set out in the legal framework may relate to financial, legal, and technical aspects of the application process. Researchers should note the costs of the administrative procedures being assessed. Interviews or comparisons with cost of living or average wages may provide a basis for assessing whether costs are reasonable. In addition, researchers should assess whether requirements create a burden for any applicants, for example by requiring extensive surveys or frequent travel to administrative offices.
4.	Accountability. Customers have the legal right to challenge administrative decisions.	Researchers should assess whether the legal framework outlines formal, specific procedures for petitioning land and forest agencies to reconsider administrative decisions. For example, the law should specify if the challenges are required in writing and how long after a decision customers have to make requests. Additionally, it should describe the type of information that must accompany the petition.

³ With respect to rights of ownership, administration may include activities such as titling, registering, surveying, demarcating, and transferring rights. In the case of usufruct rights, administration may include allocating permits, licenses, or other types of forest use contracts.

5. Legal basis for administration	n of	forest ter	ure rig	ghts		
Object of assessment:						
EOQ Y	//N	Explanati	on			
Comprehensiveness	L/IN	Explanau	.011			
Simplicity						
Fairness						
Accountability Additional notes:						
Additional notes:						
Values					Select	
Not applicable/assessed					301000	
Zero to one elements of quality					Low	
Two elements of quality					Medium	
Three elements of quality					Medium	
Four elements of quality					High_	<u> </u>
Documentation:					1 0 ==	
Researcher name and organiza	tion	:				
Secondary sources:						
Record the following: document or s	sourc	e title,				
author or organization, date publish	ed, c	hapter or				
page, website (if relevant)						
Primary sources:						
For each of the above conducted, red	cord:					
- Interviewee/participant name(s) and title						
- Institution/company/organization						
-Location and date of interview						

6. Forest tenure administration in practice

To what extent are forest tenure rights fairly and effectively administered in practice?

Indicator Guidance:

Tenure administration services include processes such as titling, registering, surveying, demarcating, and transferring rights, as well as allocating permits, licenses, or other types of forest use contracts. This indicator assesses the implementation of tenure administration in practice. Researchers should apply this indicator to the same administrative service or group of services assessed in the previous indicator on the legal framework for tenure administration. Researchers should identify the relevant agency for tenure administration and identify one or two administrative offices to assess as a case study. Tenure administration services may be provided by executive agencies responsible for land or forests, or may be decentralized to local government institutions. Researchers should gather documentation related to tenure administration (e.g., service records, performance reports, summary of services, procedural manuals) and conduct interviews with staff of the administrative agency as well as customers who have accessed administrative services. CSOs focused on tenure issues may also provide useful information.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Legal compliance. Service	Researchers should review service records and assess compliance
	providers adhere to relevant	with the laws and regulations assessed in the previous indicator.
	laws and regulations.	Assessing compliance may include verifying that required
		documentation and signatures are present, reviewing fees assessed
		and paid, and determining whether services are provided within the
		timeframe set out in the legal framework.
2.	Service standards. Service	Service standards may refer to the types and levels of fees for
	providers advertise and adhere	different services, hours of operation, types of services provided,
	to clear service standards.	required documentation or procedures for each service, and the
		expected timeframe for completing tenure administration services.
		Standards may be advertised through brochures, publications,
		guidance documents, or even through proactive efforts such as
		information sessions about services provided.
3.	Nondiscrimination. Service	Based on the type of tenure administration services being assessed,
	providers serve all customers	researchers should identify all relevant customer groups that may
	without discrimination.	wish to access the services in question. For example, if researchers
		are specifically assessing services with a narrow focus such as
		registration of indigenous lands, "all customers" would refer to all
		indigenous groups. Researchers should review service records and
		conduct interviews to assess whether services are available without
		discrimination. Evidence may include ensuring that service
		providers do not prioritize or fast-track certain types of applications
		or provide exemptions from administrative procedures without
	A 1111. Q 1	justification.
4.	Accessibility. Service	Researchers should document where tenure administration
	providers offer services at	services are provided and the hours at which they are accessible.
	times and locations that are	Convenience of these locations and hours to customers should be
	convenient to customers.	evaluated based on the types of customers and services being
		provided. For example, whether the target customers generally
		have the time, resources, and equipment to travel to office locations, and whether accessing services involves significant
		opportunity costs in terms of foregone wages.
		opportunity costs in terms of foregone wages.

5.	Timeliness. Service providers	Through review of service records or interviews, researchers should
	provide services in a	document multiple examples of how long it took to provide the
	reasonable amount of time.	services of interest. A reasonable amount of time may be identified
		by the legal framework or procedural manuals; researchers should
		compare data collected with any legal or procedural requirements.
6.	Accountability. Customers	Researchers should assess whether procedures for complaints or
	can easily file complaints and	appeals of administrative decisions are accessible, specifically
	challenge administrative	whether they are provided at a reasonable cost, location, and
	decisions.	without overly burdensome procedures. They should interview or
		survey customers to assess their level of awareness of these
		procedures, in addition to reviewing any documentation or records
		on complaints. If possible, researchers may identify specific
		complaints and track how the case was processed and resolved.

6. Forest tenure administration in practice						
Object of assessment:	•					
EOQ	Y/N	Explanati				
	I/N	Explanati	OII			
Legal compliance Service standards						
Nondiscrimination						
Accessibility						
Timeliness						
Accountability						
Additional notes:		<u> </u>				
Additional notes:						
Values				Select		
Not applicable/assessed						
Zero to one elements of qua	ality			Low		
Two elements of quality	·			Low-Medium		
Three elements of quality				Medium		
Four elements of quality				Medium-High		
Five or more elements of q	uality			High		
Documentation:						
Researcher name and or	ganization	:				
Secondary sources:						
Record the following: docum	ent or sourc	e title,				
author or organization, date	published, c	hapter or				
page, website (if relevant)						
Primary sources:						
For each of the above conduc	eted, record:					
- Interviewee/participant nat		tle				
- Institution/company/organ	nization					
-Location and date of intervi	iew					

7. Information about forest tenure rights⁴

To what extent is information about forest tenure rights effectively and transparently managed?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator assesses whether a dedicated system exists to store information about the nature and spatial extent of tenure rights in forests. An information system may refer to a database or website. Records may also be stored digitally or in hard copy in government offices. Researchers should identity the agency(s) in charge of maintaining records of forest tenure rights. It may be the agency responsible for land or forests, or the government may maintain such information through partnerships with other institutions such as CSOs, regional organizations, or implementing agencies. Records may include legal documents such as titles, deeds, certificates, licenses, permits, or other contractual agreements defining the ownership or use rights possessed by an individual, community, or the state. Systems for managing tenure rights are most likely not publicly accessible; therefore, researchers should interview staff responsible for managing these systems or those who access them frequently.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Centralized system. Information about forest tenure rights is maintained in a centralized system.	Researchers should assess whether there is a system in place that unifies all relevant information on forest tenure rights such as a mapping system or database that lists records for all relevant tenure types. Even if this information is managed by separate agencies or departments (for example, ownership rights may be managed by a land agency and management rights by the forest agency), researchers should note whether this information is integrated.
2.	Comprehensiveness. The information system contains comprehensive records of legally recognized rights (private and public).	Researchers should identify all relevant public and private forest tenure rights and assess whether they are documented in the information system. The types of relevant rights to be recorded may already have been identified in Indicator 1. Records included in the information system may include titled lands, boundaries of forest use contracts (e.g. logging concessions, hunting areas), indigenous territories, or boundaries of protected areas and reserves.
3.	Inclusion of informal rights. The information system contains or links to available information about informal rights. Accuracy. The information	Researchers should assess whether the information system includes any documentation of informal rights. Informal records may include community maps or other documents produced by individuals or communities to document their tenure claims. Information system characteristics that promote accessibility
4 ·	system is up-to-date and accurate.	include digital records and dedicated staff to manage and update the system regularly. Researchers should assess what procedures exist to ensure that information is current, including updating of old records and creation of new ones. Researchers should also assess whether any quality control or verification mechanisms are in place to ensure that information is accurate.
5.	Government accessibility. Information within the system	Researchers should verify whether records are available to all relevant agencies (including subnational offices) through

⁴ Legal records of forest tenure rights may include documents such as titles, deeds, certificates, licenses, permits, or other contractual agreements defining the ownership or use rights possessed an individual, community, or the state. Informal records may include community maps or other documents produced by individuals or communities to document their tenure claims.

can be easily accessed by relevant	accessible channels via the internet, governmental intra-net, or a
government users.	database. If older files are unavailable electronically, the main
	institution in charge of record keeping should ensure that other
	agencies can obtain hard copies in a timely manner.

7. Information about forest	tenure	rights		 	
Object of assessment:					
FOO	37/37	E alamati	•		
EOQ	Y/N	Explanat	ion		
Centralized system					
Comprehensiveness					
Inclusion of informal rights					
Accuracy					
Government accessibility					
Additional notes:					
Values					Select
Not applicable/assessed					
Zero to one elements of qualit	У				Low
Two elements of quality					Low-Medium
Three elements of quality					Medium
Four elements of quality					Medium-High
Five elements of quality					High
Documentation:					
Researcher name and orga	nization	:			
Secondary sources:					
Record the following: document	t or sourc	e title,			
author or organization, date pul					
page, website (if relevant)	, -				
Primary sources:					
For each of the above conducted	l record.				
- Interviewee/participant name	•	·lo			
- Institution/company/organiza		ue			
- Institution/company/organiza -Location and date of interview					
-Location and date of interview					

8. Support for rights-holders

To what extent are forest tenure rights-holders empowered and supported to exercise their forest tenure rights?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator should be applied to assess what mechanisms exist to provide support to forest tenure rights-holders. To apply this indicator, researchers should identify a specific geographic area of focus (linked to the scale of the overall assessment). Depending on the goals of the assessment, researchers may also wish to focus on a particular group of rights-holders (e.g., indigenous peoples) in a given area. They should also collect relevant documentation (e.g., brochures, posters, minutes of information workshops) provided by government agencies or CSOs to support rights awareness. In addition, researchers should conduct interviews with rights-holders regarding their knowledge of their rights.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Awareness of rights. Efforts	Mechanisms to facilitate awareness of forest tenure rights may be
	are made to raise the awareness	provided by the government, CSOs, community-based
	of rights-holders about their	organizations, or donor programs. Such mechanisms may
	forest tenure rights and duties	include outreach and capacity building workshops that inform
	under the law.	stakeholders of their rights under the law or efforts to
		disseminate informative materials such as brochures or posters.
2.	Access to information.	Researchers should review any information provided to rights-
	Rights-holders have access to	holders and evaluate whether it is presented in a way that is
	understandable information	understandable to audiences, including those without formal
	about the administrative	education. Understandable information may refer to the
	channels available to formalize	language itself (e.g., local languages or dialects) as well as the
	and defend their rights.	clarity of the information presented (e.g., avoiding overly
		complex legal terminology). Interviews with information
		recipients may also provide useful feedback on the
		comprehensibility of information.
3.	Access to support. Rights-	Researchers should assess the capacity building services and
	holders have access to capacity	technical support provided by the government, CSOs,
	building services and technical	community-based organizations, or donor programs. Examples
	support if needed to fully exercise	of support services may include legal representation, assistance
	their rights.	in understanding legal frameworks, documentation of
		community lands, submission of applications to register tenure
		rights, development of resource management plans, or
		delineation of boundaries.
4.	Assistance for vulnerable	Social vulnerability may be defined as "the social, economic,
	rights-holders. Vulnerable	demographic, and housing characteristics that influence a
	rights-holders have access to	community's ability to respond to, cope with, recover from, and
	additional legal, technical, and	adapt to environmental hazards." In the context of forests,
	financial assistance as needed.	groups such as indigenous peoples, women, or other minority
		ethnic populations may be considered vulnerable. Researchers
		should identify any vulnerable groups in the area of assessment
		and evaluate their access to assistance in exercising their tenure
		rights. This element of quality is most relevant if the groups in
		question have legally recognized tenure rights, but may also be

⁵ See the Social Vulnerability Index at http://webra.cas.sc.edu/hvri/products/sovifaq.aspx

applicable for groups attempting to document informal or
customary claims.

8. Support for rights-holders					
Object of assessment:					
	Т	ı		 	
EOQ	Y/N	Explanati	ion		
Awareness of rights					
Access to information					
Access to support					
Assistance for vulnerable rights-					
holders					
Additional notes:					
Values					Select
Not applicable/assessed					
Zero to one elements of quality					Low
Two elements of quality					Medium
Three elements of quality					Medium-High
Four elements of quality					High
Documentation:					
Researcher name and organi	zation	:			
Secondary sources:					
Record the following: document of	r sourc	e title,			
author or organization, date publi	shed, c	hapter or			
page, website (if relevant)					
Primary sources:					
For each of the above conducted,	record:				
- Interviewee/participant name(s)		tle			
- Institution/company/organizati					
-Location and date of interview	~				

9. Recognition and protection of forest tenure rights in practice

To what extent are forest tenure rights widely recognized and protected in practice?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator assesses how well forest tenure rights are recognized and protected in practice. To apply this indicator, researchers should identify a specific geographic area of focus (linked to the scale of the overall assessment). Depending on the goals of the assessment, researchers may also narrow their focus on a particular group of rights-holders (e.g., indigenous peoples) in a given area. Researchers should review available documentation of forest tenure rights (e.g., land titles or other records of registered rights) as well as interview government staff responsible for tenure administration and individual rightsholders.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Recognition. Most rightsholders have had their rights formally recognized and recorded.	Formal recognition refers to registration of rights in a land register or cadaster. Researchers should access relevant records to determine what percentage of land in the area of assessment has been registered. Researchers may also look for evidence of land conflicts or large numbers of claims that have not been processed, which may indicate that not all rights in the area have been
2.	Demarcation. Most individual and communal forest lands have boundaries demarcated and surveyed.	formally recorded. Demarcation is a process of setting boundaries to an area, often to clarify land ownership and other tenure arrangements. Researchers should review whether formally registered lands, both individual and communal if relevant, have clearly defined boundaries and have been surveyed. In some cases, demarcation and surveying may be required as part of the process to register rights.
3.	Enforcement. Infringements of rights are quickly and fairly addressed.	Infringement of rights could include trespassing, illegal extraction and/or sale of resources (e.g., logging, mining), or allocation of new rights with boundaries that overlap already registered rights. Researchers should assess whether enforcement agencies such as the land or forest authorities or the police monitor and take enforcement action against illegal encroachment and activities.
4.	Gender equity. Rights registered to individuals or households are often registered in the names of women, either jointly or individually.	Researchers should review land records to assess whether there are examples of rights being registered to women either individually jointly. Interviews with landowners or tenure administration staff may also provide insight into whether women are typically able to exercise their rights with respect to land registration in practice.
5.	Customary tenure. Minimal conflict exists between customary forest tenure systems and statutory systems on the ground.	Conflict between customary and statutory tenure systems may be caused by overlapping boundaries, encroachment, or disagreements over resource use in particular areas. Researchers should conduct interviews with statutory and customary rightsholders as well as with staff of the agency responsible for land administration in the area of assessment to gauge their perceptions of tenure conflict. In addition, documented complaints, reports of criminal activity or violence, or pending court cases may also provide evidence of conflict.

9. Recognition and protection of forest tenure rights in practice						
Object of assessment:				-		
EOQ	Y/N	Explana	ation			
Recognition	1/11	Explain	ation			
Demarcation						
Enforcement						
Gender equity						
Customary tenure						
Additional notes:						
raditional notes.						
Values						Select
Not applicable/assessed						
Zero to one elements of quality						Low
Two elements of quality						Low-Medium
Three elements of quality						Medium
Four elements of quality						Medium-High
Five elements of quality						High
Documentation:						
Researcher name and organiz	zation:					
Secondary sources:						
Record the following: document o	r source	title,				
author or organization, date publis	shed, ch	apter or				
page, website (if relevant)		_				
Primary sources:						
For each of the above conducted, 1	ecord:					
- Interviewee/participant name(s)		e				
- Institution/company/organization		~				
-Location and date of interview						

1.2 Tenure dispute resolution

10. Legal basis for dispute resolution bodies⁶

To what extent does the legal framework define a clear institutional framework for resolving disputes over forest tenure?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator evaluates whether the legal framework establishes clear institutions and rules for resolution of tenure disputes. Relevant legislation may include the Constitution, land tenure laws, administrative manuals or implementing regulations for tenure administration, forest laws, decentralization laws, or laws setting up the judiciary. Mechanisms for resolving disputes could refer to a range of different entities such as courts or tribunals set up through the judicial system, administrative bodies or procedures, or customary systems. Researchers should identify all relevant forms of tenure dispute resolution defined in the legal framework. Researchers may wish to assess several different types of dispute resolution mechanisms, or focus on a particular mechanism of interest.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Jurisdiction. The legal	Researcher should determine whether rules identify institutions
	framework assigns clear	with the mandate to resolve tenure disputes. Rules may identify
	institutional mandates for	multiple institutions across administrative levels of government, as
	tenure dispute resolution	well as assign different types of tenure disputes (e.g., disputes over
	bodies at different	ownership, access, management, or classification) to different
	administrative levels and for	institutions. Resolution of disputes may be the responsibility of
	different types of disputes.	administrative entities or may occur through the judiciary. For
		example, Cameroon's 1974 Land Ordinance tasks local Land
		Consultative Boards with resolution of most land disputes, while
		significant disputes may be brought before the formal courts.
2.	Authority. The legal	The legal framework should assign the institution(s) tasked with
	framework grants dispute	dispute resolution clear legal authority to hear cases, deliver rulings,
	resolution bodies adequate	and enforce final tenure decisions.
	powers to deliver and enforce	
	rulings.	
3.	Impartiality. The legal	Measures to promote impartial dispute resolution may include
	framework defines	multistakeholder membership on dispute resolution bodies,
	requirements and procedures	legislative approval for judicial appointments, independent budgets,
	to ensure the independence	or independent oversight bodies. Procedures for selecting decision-
	and impartiality of dispute	makers for dispute resolution may also support impartiality by
	resolution bodies.	establishing clear rules and procedures to guide the selection or
		appointment of decision-makers based on clear criteria.
4.	Recognition of	Customary practices may refer to a broad range of traditional
	community-based	systems, and researchers should use discretion in identifying any
	systems. The legal	locally relevant customs or norms. The legal framework should also
	framework recognizes the	define the relationship between customary and other statutory forms
	legitimacy of community-	of dispute resolution.
	based and customary dispute	
	resolution systems.	

⁶ Dispute resolution bodies may include judicial, administrative, or community-based entities.

10. Legal basis for dispute resolution bodies								
Object of assessment:								
EOQ	Y/N	Explanat	ion					
Jurisdiction	1/10	Explanat	1011					
Authority								
Impartiality								
Recognition of community-								
based systems								
Additional notes:								
raditional notes.								
Values						Select		
Not applicable/assessed								
Zero to one elements of quality						Low		
Two elements of quality						Medium		
Three elements of quality						Medium-High		
Four elements of quality						High		
Documentation:								
Researcher name and organ	ization	1:						
Secondary sources:								
Record the following: document	or sourc	e title,						
author or organization, date publ	lished, c	hapter or						
page, website (if relevant)								
Primary sources:								
For each of the above conducted, record:								
- Interviewee/participant name(s	tle							
- Institution/company/organizat	- Institution/company/organization							
-Location and date of interview								

11. Capacity of dispute resolution bodies

To what extent do dispute resolution bodies have adequate capacity to resolve tenure disputes in a timely and fair manner?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator assesses the capacity of dispute resolution bodies in order to determine whether they have adequate resources and expertise to carry out their mandate effectively. Researchers should identify the dispute resolution mechanism(s) of interest based on the entities identified in Indicator 10. For each mechanism being assessed, researchers should collect documentation such as past studies or case records that may help draw conclusions about case volume and access to evidence. In addition, they should conduct interviews with staff of the dispute resolution body to assess questions related to expertise and resources.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Tenure expertise. Dispute resolution bodies have expertise	Researchers should assess the level of expertise of decision- makers and other dispute resolution staff with respect to tenure
	in relevant tenure laws, systems,	laws (e.g. types of legally recognized land rights) and procedures
	and practices, including	(e.g., registering rights, demarcating boundaries). For entities
	customary systems.	that deal with customary or community claims often, staff should
		also have knowledge of traditional or customary systems.
		Expertise may be demonstrated through education, experience,
		completion of trainings, or responses to questions designed to
		assess knowledge of the content of tenure laws and procedures.
2.	Expertise in alternative	Alternative dispute resolution (ADR) typically refers to processes
	dispute resolution. Dispute	and techniques for resolving disputes that do not include
	resolution bodies have expertise	litigation. They are often overseen by a neutral third-party, and
	in alternative means of resolving	may include negotiation, mediation, and arbitration.
	disputes, such as mediation.	Researchers should identify whether ADR techniques are used in
		the dispute resolution mechanism being assessed and evaluate
	Access to oridone a Disputa	whether staff have training in such techniques.
3.	Access to evidence. Dispute resolution bodies have access to a	Types of evidence include deeds, land titles, and other relevant legal documentation. In addition to official data sources, dispute
	range of evidence to inform	resolution bodies should also have access to unofficial
	rulings.	information such as community maps and oral testimony.
4.	Financial resources. Dispute	For financial resources to be sufficient, dispute resolution
1	resolution bodies have sufficient	entities should have enough funding to pay personnel,
	financial resources to handle	operational and facility costs, and maintain regular hours for
	their case volume.	hearing disputes. Researchers should collect budget information
		where possible, and conduct interviews with staff to assess the
		level of resources. If budget information is unavailable,
		examining the number or percentage of cases resolved in a given
		time period or average length of each case may indicate resource
		constraints.
5.	Human resources. Dispute	Sufficient human resources refers to the number of staff required
	resolution bodies have sufficient	to operate the dispute resolution body. Information on human
	human resources to handle their	resources may be available via annual performance reports of the
	case volume.	dispute resolution entity, or may be obtained via staff interviews.

⁷ For additional discussion, see: http://www.accessinitiative.org/blog/2010/01/greening-justice-creating-and-decomposition improving-environmental-courts-and-tribunals

Examining the number or percentage of cases resolved in a given time period or average length of each case may indicate resource constraints.

11. Capacity of dispute resolution bodies							
Object of assessment:							
EOQ	Y/N	Explanati	on				
Clear goals							
Clear timeline							
Impacted groups							
Response to feedback							
Disclosure of decision							
Additional notes:							
Values					Select		
Not applicable/assessed							
Zero to one elements of quality	7				Low		
Two elements of quality					Low-Medium		
Three elements of quality					Medium		
Four elements of quality					Medium-High _		
Five elements of quality					High		
Documentation:							
Researcher name and organ	nization	:					
Secondary sources:							
Record the following: document							
author or organization, date pub	lished, c	hapter or					
page, website (if relevant)							
Primary sources:							
For each of the above conducted	, record:						
- Interviewee/participant name(s) and ti	tle					
- Institution/company/organiza	tion						
-Location and date of interview							

12. Accessibility of dispute resolution services

To what extent are dispute resolution services widely accessible to all citizens?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator assesses whether tenure dispute resolution services are broadly accessible to citizens. It can be applied to either formal or alternative dispute resolution procedures, or to both types. Researchers should begin by verifying citizens' rights to bring tenure disputes before resolution bodies. Researchers should apply this indicator to the same dispute resolution mechanism(s) assessed in Indicator 11. Researchers should collect documentation such as laws governing the functioning of the judicial system, legislation establishing the dispute resolution bodies in question, and records of the dispute resolution entity. Researchers should also conduct interviews with staff of the dispute resolution body, claimants who have used or tried to access dispute resolution services, or other persons with knowledge of dispute resolution services.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance for Interpreting Elements of Quality
1.	Legal standing. All citizens and communities have legal standing to bring tenure-related complaints before a dispute resolution body.	Standing generally refers to the legal right to bring a lawsuit, and often requires the plaintiff to demonstrate a specific or other interest. Researchers should assess the breadth of standing provisions in the law to identify the types of individuals and groups that can bring cases and any specific requirements for demonstrating standing with respect to tenure-related cases. For example, researchers may note whether standing requires formal recognition of tenure rights, or whether groups with informal or customary claims to land also have standing to bring tenure disputes. Legal analysis can be supplemented with interviews of legal experts or examination of legal precedent to identify any relevant rulings related to standing in tenure cases. Note that in some instances communities may be prevented from filing standing provisions where they lack the ability to be considered a legal entity.
2.	Accessibility. Dispute resolution services are provided in locations that are accessible for the majority of citizens.	To determine accessibility, researchers should assess the scale at which services are provided (e.g., village, municipal, district level). Collecting primary data on how far claimants have traveled to access services should also be collected if possible.
3.	Language. Dispute resolution services are provided in relevant local languages.	Researchers should assess whether communities in the area of assessment speak local languages. If yes, they should review case records and conduct interviews with staff and claimants to determine whether services are provided in relevant local languages. This may include both hearing causes and providing all documentation in the relevant local language. Where services in local languages are not available, researchers should note whether accommodations can be made to have translators in order for claimants to present evidence in their local language.
4.	Affordability. Dispute resolution services are affordable for the majority of citizens. Legal aid. Free legal	Here, affordable implies that services are within the financial means of most people. This can be achieved through cost mitigation measures such as sharing staff across multiple dispute resolution bodies, waiving fees for certain groups, governmental funding for plaintiffs, or ADR. Vulnerable or marginalized peoples may include indigenous

services are available for citizens who cannot afford them.	populations, ethnic minorities, women, and those of lower socioeconomic class. Such groups may lack the expertise or resources needed to navigate dispute resolution processes. Legal support could
	include providing pro bono legal counsel, government assistance programs, or funding from civil society to bring tenure disputes before formal dispute resolution entities.

12. Accessibility of dispute res	oluti	on service	S	
Object of assessment:				
EOQ	Y/N	Explanati	on	
Legal standing		•		
Accessibility				
Language				
Affordability				
Legal aid				
Additional notes:				
Values				Select
Not applicable/assessed				
Zero to one elements of quality				Low
Two elements of quality				Low-Medium
Three elements of quality				Medium
Four elements of quality				Medium-High
Five elements of quality				High
Documentation:				
Researcher name and organiz	ation	l :		
Secondary sources:				
Record the following: document or	sourc	e title,		
author or organization, date publis	hed, c	hapter or		
page, website (if relevant)				
Primary sources:				
For each of the above conducted, re	ecord:			
- Interviewee/participant name(s)				
- Institution/company/organization	n			
-Location and date of interview				

13. Effectiveness of dispute resolution

To what extent do dispute resolution bodies provide timely, effective, and transparent rulings?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator should be applied to the same dispute resolution body assessed in Indicators 11-12. Researchers should identify a recent ruling, or multiple rulings to evaluate if enough information exists and review any available records documenting the dispute resolution process. In addition, they should conduct interviews with relevant parties and dispute resolution staff. Researchers may also wish to do some corroborating field work if the case involved an issue (e.g., boundary disputes) that can be verified by visiting the disputed area.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Evidence base. Rulings are	In order to assess the evidence base, researchers should access
	made after all parties have	records of the dispute resolution body. These may include
	presented their arguments and	transcripts of cases (often used in more formal court settings), or
	evidence.	final decisions may include opinions that set out how the
		evidence was considered and what conclusions were drawn.
		Researchers can also collect primary data from those involved in
		the process to ensure that evidence was presented.
2.	Timeliness. Rulings are made	Researchers should identify via interviews or document review
	in a timely manner.	how much time passed between the initiation of the case(s) of
		interest and the final decision. If possible, this information
		should be compared to similar types of cases or to relevant legal
		requirements on dispute resolution processes to assess whether
		it is timely in the context of the assessment country.
3.	Fairness. Rulings provide a fair	Researchers should review dispute resolution decisions and
	and effective remedy to the	assess the fairness and effectiveness of the results. Assessing
	dispute.	fairness may include reviewing whether the decision was based
		on the evidence presented and justified in the final ruling.
		Researchers should also interview parties to the dispute to gauge
		their perceptions of the decision. Effective remedies may include
		restitution, indemnity, compensation, or reparation. Rulings
		could also be compared to other similar cases to see if it was
		generally consistent with what is considered effective.
4.	Enforcement. Rulings are	Researchers should assess whether final decisions are upheld or
	enforced in a timely manner.	implemented in cases where a ruling requires a specific action to
		be taken. Information on enforcement of decisions may require
		field interviews or verification, or could be assessed through
		interviews with staff of the dispute resolution body. In instances
		where rulings are not followed, researchers should review
		enforcement records and determine if any additional penalties or
		enforcement actions were implemented.
5.	Disclosure. Rulings are	Researchers should determine how records and final rulings of
	documented and publicly	tenure disputes are maintained and whether they are made
	disclosed.	publicly available. If rulings are accessible, researchers should
		note how they are disclosed and evaluate whether disclosure
		mechanisms are accessible to stakeholders.

13. Effectiveness of dispute resolution								
Object of assessment:								
TO O	77/27		•					
EOQ	Y/N	Explanat	ion					
Evidence base								
Timeliness								
Fairness								
Enforcement								
Disclosure								
Additional notes:								
						T . •		
Values						Select		
Not applicable/assessed								
Zero to one elements of quali	ty					Low		
Two elements of quality						Low-Medium		
Three elements of quality						Medium		
Four elements of quality						Medium-High		
Five elements of quality						High		
Documentation:								
Researcher name and orga	nization	:						
Secondary sources:								
Record the following: documen	t or sourc	e title,						
author or organization, date pu	blished, c	hapter or						
page, website (if relevant)								
Primary sources:								
For each of the above conducte								
- Interviewee/participant name	(s) and ti	tle						
- Institution/company/organiz								
-Location and date of interviev	V							

1.3 State forest ownership

14. Legal basis for designating state forests

To what extent does the legal framework provide adequate checks and balances on government powers to designate lands as state forests?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator should be applied to assess the laws governing how state-owned forests are designated for different purposes. Researchers should review all relevant legislation pertaining to designation of state forests, and may also wish to interview legal scholars familiar with forest law. Relevant documents may include the Constitution, land tenure laws and policies, forest laws, land use laws, and corresponding implementing regulations.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Public interest requirement. The legal framework states that state forests are to be held in trust for the people	Researchers should identify whether the legal framework includes a clear statement that state forests are held in trust or managed on behalf of the public. These statements are often included in a country's constitution, forest law, or land laws. Statements may refer to forests, land, or the environment more generally. For example, Chapter IX of the Kenyan constitution states that Trust Land shall be held for the benefit of local communities in the area.
2.	Institutional mandate. The legal framework clearly specifies which agency has the authority to make designation decisions.	Researchers should identify which agency(s) has the authority to designate state forests. Often this will be the agency responsible for forests or lands. If multiple agencies make designation decisions, researchers should review the mandates of each agency and identify any overlap.
3.	Decision-making criteria. The legal framework defines clear and appropriate criteria to regulate designation decisions.	Researchers should review whether the legal framework sets out decision-making criteria to guide designation decisions. Examples may include definitions that must be met in order for a land area to be considered forested, criteria requiring identification of potential claims to the land, or conditions under which designations can be changed.
4.	Consultation requirements. The legal framework requires public consultation prior to designation decisions that may have significant social or environmental impacts.	Researchers should identify any legal requirements for public consultation, particularly of potentially affected populations, when designating state forests. Requirements may include the circumstances under which consultation is required, how consultation should occur, and the timeframe for holding a consultation and making the final decision. For example, the Guatemalan National Forest Agenda requires that consultations be carried out in the form of roundtables.
5.	Transparency requirements. The legal framework requires that proposed and final designations are publicly disclosed.	Researchers should assess whether the legal framework outlines specific procedure(s) for disseminating information on designation of state forests. Procedures may set a specific number of days for soliciting public comments, require posting of notices in certain areas, or outline details on what information should be provided (e.g., information on proposed boundaries or uses of land).

6. Requirements to respect rights. The legal framework requires that designation decisions recognize and respect existing customary and community rights to land and resources.

Researchers should review whether the legal framework requires existing customary and community rights to be respected. Even where community or customary rights are not formally recognized in law, there may be general statements about respecting customary uses or access to land. However, requirements are generally stronger when spelled out in specifics laws and decrees setting out procedures. For example, rules may require identification of any resource use in the area to be designated, specific outreach to affected populations, or obligations to maintain buffer zones or use areas.

14. Legal basis for designating state forest							
Object of assessment:							
EOQ	Y/N	Explanati	on				
Public interest requirement	1/10	Explanau	.011				
Institutional mandate							
Decision-making criteria							
Consultation requirements							
Transparency requirements							
Requirements to respect rights							
Additional notes:	1	<u> </u>					
Additional notes:							
Values					Select		
Not applicable/assessed							
Zero to one elements of quality					Low		
Two elements of quality					Low-Medium		
Three elements of quality					Medium		
Four elements of quality					Medium-High		
Five or more elements of quali	ty				High		
Documentation:							
Researcher name and organ	ization	:					
Secondary sources:							
Record the following: document	or sourc	e title,					
author or organization, date pub	hapter or						
page, website (if relevant)							
Primary sources:							
For each of the above conducted,	record:						
- Interviewee/participant name(s							
- Institution/company/organizat	ion						
-Location and date of interview							

15. Designation of state forests in practice

To what extent are decisions to designate and re-designate state forests transparent and accountable in practice?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator assesses how decisions to designate state forests are implemented in practice. It can be applied to a recent designation decision or to multiple designation processes if enough information exists. Researchers should evaluate on-the-ground practices against the relevant legal requirements identified in Indicator 14. This can be done through procedural observation and interviews with agency staff and rights-holders within the immediate area. Additionally, rights-holders within the area should be interviewed regarding their experiences with designation processes. Researchers should also search for documents such as minutes of consultation meetings, reports on designation processes, or summary of final decisions and designations.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Oversight. Designation decisions are subject to effective anticorruption and oversight mechanisms.	Oversight mechanisms for designation of state forests may include transparency requirements, review of designation decisions by high level officials or independent monitors, rigorous criteria governing designation decisions, required authorization from oversight bodies for certain types of designations, or any other mechanisms that place checks and balances on power to designate forests. Researchers should identify what, if any, mechanisms to prevent corruption exist and assess how well they are implemented.
2.	Legal compliance . Designation decisions are carried out consistent with relevant laws and regulations.	Researchers should assess whether designation decisions are consistent with all criteria and procedural requirements set out in the legal framework. These may include requirements related to documentation, defining and justifying public purpose requirements, or inventories or studies of the proposed area to be designated.
3.	Public consultation. Designation decisions involve transparent and inclusive public consultations.	Researchers should determine whether any public consultations were held in advance of the designation decision. If the law requires consultation, determine whether the efforts that were carried out comply with what is set out in the law. Even in the absence of legal requirements, it is useful to document the number of consultations held, who participated, whether information was received in advance of consultation meetings, and whether public input was taken into account in the final decision.
4.	Public disclosure . Proposed and final designations are publicly disclosed.	Maps, press releases, final contracts, or other relevant information on designation decisions should be made publicly available. Researchers should identify any information disclosed and assess whether the method of disclosure (e.g., website, local offices, by request) is publicly accessible.
5.	Appropriateness. Existing designations are appropriate and consistent with broader national social, environmental, and economic objectives.	Broader national social, environmental, and economic objectives may include biodiversity conservation, sustainable management of forests, and poverty reduction. For example, Cameroon has a goal that the permanent forest domain (which is designated as the private property of the state) must be at least 30%.

		Researchers should identify any such provisions and their impact on these social and environmental objectives.
6.	Respect of existing rights. Existing designations are not violating the rights of existing communities and indigenous groups.	Researchers should identify the different types of rights-holders in the designated area. Relevant rights may include rights of access, withdrawal, or management that are formally recognized in laws or the constitution, or may include non-statutory rights such as customary claims and human rights. Examine whether the designation decision creates any restrictions or violations of rights. Evidence may be collected from reviewing documentation that sets out the terms of the designation, and through interviews with rights-holders to see if the designation has impacted them.

15. Designation of state fo	rests in p	ractice	
Object of assessment:			
EOQ	Y/N	Explanation	
Oversight	,	1	
Legal compliance			
Public consultation			
Public disclosure			
Appropriateness			
Respect of existing rights			
Additional notes:			
Values			Select
Not applicable/assessed			
Zero to one elements of quality			Low
Two elements of quality			Low-Medium
Three elements of quality			Medium
Four elements of quality			Medium-High
Five or more elements of qu	ality		High
Documentation:		<u> </u>	
Researcher name and org	anization	1:	
Secondary sources:			
Record the following: docume		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
author or organization, date p	ublished, c	hapter or	
page, website (if relevant)			
Primary sources:			
For each of the above conduct	ed, record:		
- Interviewee/participant nam	e(s) and ti	tle	
- Institution/company/organi	zation		
-Location and date of intervie			

16. Legal basis for expropriation⁸

To what extent does the legal framework provide adequate checks and balances on government powers to expropriate private property for public purposes?

Indicator Guidance:

Expropriation occurs when the state compulsorily acquires private property for a purpose deemed to be in the public interest. This indicator assesses whether the legal framework describes clear rules and procedures for any expropriation of land. Researchers should identify the legislation that sets out terms and procedures for expropriation. Relevant documents may include the Constitution, land laws, and implementing regulations or manuals of procedure related to land administration. In some cases, countries may also have specific laws on expropriation of lands.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Public purpose requirement. The legal framework states that expropriation should only occur when rights to land or forests are required for a public purpose.	Researchers should Identify whether the Constitution or other relevant land laws include clear statements about expropriation occurring for public purposes only.
2.	Public purpose definition. The legal framework clearly defines the concept of public purpose.	Researchers should review the legal framework for expropriation to determine whether it includes a specific definition of what types of activities or land uses can be defined as for the public purpose. They should also identify any specific criteria or conditions that must be met in order for the public purpose requirement to be met.
3.	Clarity of procedures. The legal framework defines clear procedures for expropriation, including requirements to consider alternatives.	Researchers should identify whether rules governing expropriation define specific procedures for the expropriation process. These may include requirements for giving notice of planned expropriations, assigning clear authority for who can approve expropriations, advertising public comment periods, transferring legal title or ownership, and determining how compensation of landowners is calculated and distributed. It is typically good practice for rules to require that alternatives be considered, such as moving the proposed land use to a different site that reduces impact on rights-holders.
4.	Transparency requirements. The legal framework requires public disclosure of information about the expropriation process and final decision.	Researchers should identify whether legal procedures for expropriation or general freedom of information legislation require that information on the expropriation process be publicly disclosed. This may include giving public notice of the planned expropriation, sharing information about compensation for landowners, as well as disclosing the final decision.
5.	Consultation requirements. The legal framework requires that potentially affected people be fully informed and consulted prior to making a decision.	Researchers should identify laws that require public comments, consultations, or other mechanisms by which affected groups can provide input about the proposed expropriation.

⁸ Expropriation occurs when the state compulsorily acquires private property for a purpose deemed to be in the public interest.

6.	Compensation requirements.	Researchers should examine whether the law sets out the
	The legal framework requires fair	justification for compensation and how it will be calculated and
	and prompt compensation for	distributed. Some laws may include compensation for occupants
	expropriated rights.	of the expropriated land that do not hold legal ownership rights,
		such as renters or those claiming customary rights. The legal
		framework should also define the timeframe for receiving
		compensation, the type of compensation to be provided. This
		may include, among other forms of compensation, money, rights
		to alternative areas, or a combination of both.

16. Legal basis for expropri Object of assessment:					
object of assessment.					
EOQ	Y/N	Explanati	ion		
Public purpose requirement					
Public purpose definition					
Clarity of procedures					
Transparency requirements					
Consultation requirements					
Compensation requirements					
Additional notes:					
				1	
Values				-	Select
Not applicable/assessed					
Zero to one elements of quality					Low
Two elements of quality					Low-Medium
Three elements of quality					Medium
Four elements of quality					Medium-High
Five or more elements of qua	lity				High
Documentation:			_		
Researcher name and orga	nization	ı :			
Secondary sources:					
Record the following: documen		•			
author or organization, date pu	blished, c	hapter or			
page, website (if relevant)					
Primary sources:					
For each of the above conducte	d, record:				
- Interviewee/participant name	(s) and ti	tle			
- Institution/company/organiz					
-Location and date of interview	V				

17. Expropriation in practice

To what extent does the government exercise its power to expropriate private property in a justifiable and transparent manner?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator should be applied to a recent example of land being expropriated by the government. Depending on the scope of the assessment, researchers may want to focus specifically on expropriation of forest land. Researchers should review documentation such as public notices, minutes from consultations, documentation of title transfers, or notifications of the final decision. In addition, researchers should conduct interviews with relevant government officials and property owners affected by the expropriation process.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Justification. Expropriation	Researchers should assess whether the expropriation process
	only occurs for a justifiable	defined a public purpose that meets any requirements or definitions
	public purpose.	set out in the law. Justifiable public purposes may include
		infrastructure development, development of a national park, or
		conservation of endangered habitat. Justifications can be
		controversial, so researchers may wish to interview affected
		stakeholders and legal scholars to gauge their perceptions of
		whether public purpose requirements were met.
2.	Consultation. Potentially	Researchers should assess whether affected stakeholder groups were
	affected people are identified,	made aware of potential impacts of designation decisions and
	fully informed, and	provided with multiple opportunities to voice their opinions and
	transparently consulted.	concerns throughout the expropriation process.
3.	Alternatives. Alternative	Researchers should identify whether any alternative approaches
	approaches and strategies to	were considered by decision-makers as part of the expropriation
	minimize social impacts are	process. These may include moving the site of the proposed project
	considered and adopted if	or minimizing the land area taken. Co-management may be relevant
	feasible.	in the context of expropriation for a park or conservation area.
		Strategies to minimize social impacts may also be adopted, such as
		land swaps or maintaining areas for certain types of access or use.
4.	•	Compensation may be based on current property use, the value of
	prompt compensation is	the resources on the land, the value of improvements made on the
	provided for expropriated	land, or market value of the land itself. Compensation may be
	rights.	monetary or be designed to make up for expropriated rights by
		providing rights in alternative areas. Researchers should assess the
		expropriation case study to determine whether compensation was
		provided, whether it was sufficient to cover the loss of property and
		other rights, how much compensation was provided, and how long it
		took for rights-holders to receive the compensation. Interviews with
		those compensated may be important for assessing the overall
		fairness of compensation. Fairness can also be evaluated through
		comparisons with similar types of expropriations, if examples exist.
5.	Redress . Mechanisms of	Researchers should identify whether redress mechanisms for
	redress are available and	expropriation processes provide specific avenues for disputing
	accessible.	decisions about expropriation and/or compensation. Easily
		accessible channels (e.g., help desk, phone hotline, local office, or
		email) should be made available for claimants to file complaints and

appeals. These should be recorded and addressed in a timely
manner by acknowledging receipt, providing written response, and
detailing resolutions or next steps. In the absence of dedicated
redress mechanisms, researchers should note whether courts have
been used to bring complaints in relation to expropriation processes.

17. Expropriation in practice						
Object of assessment:						
Too.	37/3T	B 1				
EOQ	Y/N	Explanat	ion			
Justification						
Consultation						
Alternatives						
Compensation						
Redress						
Additional notes:						
Values					Select	
Not applicable/assessed						
Zero to one elements of quality					Low	
Two elements of quality					Low-Mediu	n
Three elements of quality					Medium	_
Four elements of quality					Medium-Hi	gh
Five elements of quality					High	
Documentation:						
Researcher name and organi	zation	:				
Secondary sources:						
Record the following: document of	r sourc	e title,				
author or organization, date publi	shed, c	hapter or				
page, website (if relevant)						
Primary sources:						
For each of the above conducted,	record:					
- Interviewee/participant name(s) and ti	tle				
- Institution/company/organizati	on					
-Location and date of interview						

1.4 Concession allocation9

18. Legal basis for allocating concessions in state forests

To what extent does the legal framework define a transparent and accountable process for allocating concessions in state forests?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator assesses the laws governing how concessions are allocated in state forests, including concessions allocated for timber extraction or other activities such as conservation projects, mining, forest conservation, or carbon sequestration (e.g., CDM or REDD+ projects). Researchers should collect information on laws relating to allocation of concessions. Relevant rules may be found within land laws, or individual sectors (e.g., forestry, mining) may each have separate legislation regarding the allocation of concessions. Researchers should identify which sector(s) and types of concession they are interested in assessing and apply this indicator once to each category. For example, this indicator could be used to compare the quality of concession allocation rules in the forest and mining sectors.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Quality of process. The legal framework defines an open and competitive process for allocating concessions.	Researchers should review the procedures for allocating concessions and assess whether they promote open and competitive processes. Common processes for awarding concessions include auctions, competitive negotiation, auction-negotiation hybrid allocation, and direct negotiation. Processes that promote auctions, encourage participation of multiple bidders, or evaluate proposals based on detailed scoring criteria are typically considered to be more competitive and transparent.
2.	Anticorruption measures. The legal framework prohibits applications from people or companies who have been convicted of corruption or who have failed to pay taxes.	Researchers should assess whether measures are in place to restrict applications from those convicted of corruption or who owe outstanding taxes or fees. For example, Panama's Law 13, 2012 prohibits any "persons in arrears with the National Tax Office" from applying for mining concessions.
3.	Application requirements. The legal framework clearly defines the minimum qualifications and technical requirements for applying.	Researchers should review technical requirements for concession applications. Requirements may include providing information on the entity applying and its financial situation, past audits, shareholder reports, and summary of operations. Requirements may also be related to the proposed concession operations, such as feasibility studies, impact assessments, or management plans.
4.	Requirements to identify rights-holders. The legal framework requires that existing tenure claims and claimants be identified and documented prior to allocating a concession.	Researchers should review the legal framework to determine if it specifically denotes that existing tenure claims and claimants should be identified <i>before</i> concession allocation. In cases where the government holds auctions or other competitive bidding processes, this may be done by the forest agency. In other cases, this may be required of the concession-holder.

⁹ Concession refers to any contractual agreement (e.g. concessions or other large-scale forest contracts) that results in a significant acquisition of rights on state forest lands for forest exploitation or conversion.

5.	Transparency	Researchers should identify legal requirements for transparency
	requirements . The legal	and information disclosure during the application process. Rules
	framework requires public	may require advertising the concession opportunity, disclosing
	disclosure of information	information on the area of land being allocated (e.g., land cover,
	relating to the allocation	species composition), or disclosing applications, appeals, and final
	process, applicants, and final	decisions. If concession processes include detailed assessments of
	decision.	technical qualifications or scoring, disclosure may also be required
		for these documents. Rules may also include a timeline for when
		information is disclosed and the method of disclosure.
6.	Consultation	Researchers should assess whether the legal framework requires
	requirements. The legal	public notice or consultation during the concession allocation
	framework requires public	process. For example, the Cambodian 2001 Land Law sub-decree
	consultation prior to allocating	No. 146 on Economic Land Concessions includes requirements for
	a concession that may have	conducting public consultations. Researchers should also note at
	significant social or	what point in the allocation process public input is collected;
	environmental impacts.	feedback solicited in early stages of concession decision is more
		likely to be considered when making decisions about areas of land
		to be awarded and whether existing community uses are respected.

Object of assessment:			
EOQ	Y/N	Explanation	
Quality of process			
Anticorruption measures			
Application requirements			
Requirements to identify rights-			
holders			
Transparency requirements			
Consultation requirements			
Additional notes:			
Values			Select
Not applicable/assessed			
Zero to one elements of quality			Low
Two elements of quality			Low-Medium
Three elements of quality		Medium	
Four elements of quality			Medium-High
Five or more elements of quality	•		High
Documentation:			
Researcher name and organiz	zation:		
Secondary sources:			
Record the following: document or		*	
author or organization, date public	shed, cha	pter or	
page, website (if relevant)			
Primary sources:			
For each of the above conducted, r			
- Interviewee/participant name(s)			
- Institution/company/organization	n		
-Location and date of interview			

19. Concession allocation in practice

To what extent are concessions allocated in an accountable and transparent manner in practice?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator evaluates the transparency and accountability of concession allocations in practice. It should be applied to one or several recent concession allocation processes related to the same sector or type of concession assessed in Indicator 18. Researchers should review the allocation process by conducting interviews with concession applicants/holders and comparing this information with the allocation procedures stipulated within the legal framework. The information gathered in these interviews should be verified through additional interviews with local rights holders and government staff who administer concession allocation processes regarding the respect of existing rights, public disclosure of the process, and consultation.

de
iated
ting
public
s in the
nes
holders
ghts are
etition
1
nd
3
)
ts of
ıring
iring r
were
rchers
e, how
5, 110 W
nade
om the
e, l

19. Concession allocation in	practic	e			
Object of assessment:					
FOO	37/37	P11	•		
EOQ	Y/N	Explanati	ion		
Legal compliance					
Respect of existing rights					
Anticorruption measures					
Public disclosure					
Public consultation					
Additional notes:					
Values					Select
Not applicable/assessed					Sciecc
Zero to one elements of quality					Low
Two elements of quality					Low-Medium
Three elements of quality					Medium
Four elements of quality					Medium-High
Five elements of quality					High
Documentation:					<u> </u>
Researcher name and organi	zation	:			
Secondary sources:					
Record the following: document of	or sourc	e title,			
author or organization, date publ	ished, c	hapter or			
page, website (if relevant)					
Primary sources:					
For each of the above conducted,	record:				
- Interviewee/participant name(s) and tit	tle			
- Institution/company/organizati	on				
-Location and date of interview					

20. Quality of concession contracts

To what extent do concession contracts comprehensively describe all rights and obligations of the concession holder?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator should be applied to one or more recent concession contracts in the sector(s) of interest. Researchers should obtain copies of concession contracts and review their contents to assess how they deal with the elements of quality below. In many cases, concession contracts are not publicly disclosed and may be difficult to access. In this case, interviews with government agencies, concession-holders, or other persons with knowledge of concession terms or contracts may provide some information. If multiple contracts are available, researchers should attempt to review multiple contracts to assess whether provisions are generally consistent across contracts.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Legal. Contracts include clear	Researchers should review the contract(s) and identify any legal
	legal provisions setting out the	terms, right, and conditions. These may include the duration of
	terms, rights, and conditions of	the contract, the specific property rights granted, any restrictions
	the agreement.	on rights within the concession boundary, and conditions related
		to termination, transfer, or surrender of the contract. Contracts
		may also include provisions on whether the concession
		agreement must comply with any changes in the legal framework
		that happen after the legal agreement.
2.	Technical . Contracts include all	Technical requirements in contracts should describe methods
	technical requirements related to	and procedures that will be used to carry out the activities of the
	forest management, exploitation,	contract. These may include exploration activities, surveys,
	or conversion.	feasibility studies, environmental and social impact assessments,
		management plans, and monitoring plans. Technical
		requirements may also include specific parameters for extractive
		activities in forests such as annual allowable cuts and diameter at
		which trees can be harvested.
3.	Administrative . Contracts	Administrative procedures may include the submission of
	include all administrative	documentation such as maps, forms, assessments, reports, or
	procedures and obligations with	plans at specific time points. Researchers should review whether
	which the contract-holder must	contract terms clearly spell out types of reporting that are
	comply.	required and how often they should be carried out; for example,
		requirements to submit annual management plans.
4.	Financial. Contracts include all	Financial terms and obligation may include pricing
	financial obligations of the	arrangements, production-sharing, fees, warranties, liabilities,
	agreement.	required deposits, and all taxes or other charges that must be
		complied with in order to operate the concession. These terms
		should be clearly outlined within contracts with clear timeframes
		for any relevant payments.
5.	Environmental. Contracts	Environmental protections may include areas that must remain
	include all environmental	vegetated such as riparian areas or high conservation value
	protection, impact assessment, or	forest. Mitigation obligations may include minimizing proposed
	mitigation obligations of the	project activity, rectifying or restoring impacts, abatement
	agreement.	measures, and compensation by providing replacement
		environmental resources of equivalent or greater value, on or off-
		site. Specific examples within the forest sector include selective

		cutting and clearing methods, restoration and reforestation, and
		preservation of existing vegetation.
6.	Social. Contracts include all	Social obligations may include the provision of benefits to groups
	social obligations of the	living within or near concession boundaries such as monetary
	agreement.	compensation, employment, or public goods such as the
		construction of schools or clinics. Contracts may also have
		requirements in relation to the number and skill level of jobs that
		will be created, preferences for local workers, or other
		commitments to community investment and partnerships.

BOQ Y/N Explanation Legal Technical Administrative Financial Environmental Social Additional notes: Values Select Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Low_ Two elements of quality Low-Medium_ Three elements of quality Medium_ Four elements of quality Medium_ Four elements of quality High_ Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	20. Quality of concession contracts			
Legal Technical Administrative Financial Environmental Social Additional notes: Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low-Medium_ Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium-Five or more elements of quality Five or more elements of quality Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Object of assessment:			
Legal Technical Administrative Financial Environmental Social Additional notes: Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low-Medium_ Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium-Five or more elements of quality Five or more elements of quality Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:				
Technical Administrative Financial Environmental Social Additional notes: Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low-Medium Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium— Four elements of quality Medium— Five or more elements of quality Medium— Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Secondary sources:		Y/N Explana	tion	
Administrative Financial Environmental Social Additional notes: Values Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low Three elements of quality Medium Four elements of quality Medium Four elements of quality Medium Five or more elements of quality Medium Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Secondary sources:				
Financial Environmental Social Additional notes: Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Four elements of quality Medium Four elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:				
Environmental Social Additional notes: Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Four elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:				
Social Additional notes: Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Four elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:				
Additional notes: Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Four elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:				
Values Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Four elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Social			
Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Additional notes:			
Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:				
Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:				
Not applicable/assessed Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Five or more elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:				
Zero to one elements of quality Two elements of quality Low-Medium Three elements of quality Medium-High Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Values Select			Select
Two elements of quality Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Not applicable/assessed			
Three elements of quality Four elements of quality Medium Five or more elements of quality High Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Zero to one elements of quality			Low
Four elements of quality Five or more elements of quality Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Two elements of quality			Low-Medium
Five or more elements of quality Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Three elements of quality			Medium
Documentation: Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Four elements of quality			Medium-High
Researcher name and organization: Secondary sources:	Five or more elements of quality	J		High
Secondary sources:	Documentation:			
· ·	Researcher name and organiz	zation:		
	Secondary sources:			
Record the following: document or source title,	Record the following: document o	r source title,		
author or organization, date published, chapter or	author or organization, date publi	shed, chapter or		
page, website (if relevant)				
Primary sources:	Primary sources:			
For each of the above conducted, record:	-	record:		
, and the second	•			
- Institution/company/organization	- Interviewee/participant name(s) and title			
-Location and date of interview	, 1 , 0	<i>)</i> 11		

21. Social and environmental requirements of concessions

To what extent do concession contracts include requirements to ensure social and environmental sustainability?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator should be applied to one or more recent concession contracts in the sector(s) of interest. Researchers should obtain copies of concession contracts and review their contents to assess any requirements related to social and environmental sustainability. In many cases, concession contracts are not publicly disclosed and may be difficult to access. In this case, interviews with government agencies, concession-holders, or other persons with knowledge of concession terms or contracts may provide some information. If multiple contracts are available, researchers should attempt to review multiple contracts to assess whether provisions are generally consistent across contracts.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Impact assessment	Researchers should note whether contracts require any form of
	requirements. Contracts	social or environmental impact assessment at any point in the
	require social and environmental	application process or in order to begin operations. Assessment
	impact assessment prior to	requirements may depend on the type and size of concession.
	beginning exploitation or	
	conversion activities.	
2.	Community engagement.	Researchers should identify any requirements related to
	Contracts require engagement	community engagement. These may include partnerships,
	and benefit sharing with local	investments, or benefit sharing activities that provide a share of
	communities.	profits or other benefits such as housing, schools, or clinics, or
		employment.
3.	Mitigation. Contracts require	Researchers should determine whether contracts require efforts
	the development and	to avoid or mitigate impacts of the proposed activities to be
	implementation of measures to	carried out. Mitigation measures may include ecosystem
	avoid or mitigate identified social	restoration (e.g., reforestation, rehabilitating wetlands), shifting
	and environmental risks.	boundaries of areas to be exploited, or other abatement measures
		that reduce impacts on land or people. Measures may also
		include compensation for lost livelihoods of groups living in the
		concession area.
4.	Monitoring. Contracts require	Researchers should assess any monitoring requirements in
	monitoring of social and	contracts and note how often monitoring takes place (e.g.,
	environmental impacts.	biannually, annually), who conducts the monitoring (e.g., the
		contract-holder or a third party), and what impacts should be
		monitored.
5.	Response. Contracts require	Researchers should review whether contracts clearly state any
	corrective measures if negative	obligations of the contract-holder to address problems or
	social or environmental impacts	negative impacts identified by monitoring of operations. The
	are detected.	contract may also include any consequences for noncompliance,
		such as government-issued penalties if corrective measures are
		not implemented.

21. Social and environmen	ntal requi	rements o	f concession	ıs	
Object of assessment:					
EOQ	Y/N	Explanation	on		
Impact assessment	-/	The state of the s	<u> </u>		
requirements					
Community engagement					
Mitigation					
Monitoring					
Response					
Additional notes:	•				
Values				S	elect
Not applicable/assessed					
Zero to one elements of quality				L	OW
Two elements of quality				L	ow-Medium
Three elements of quality				N	Iedium
Four elements of quality				N	Iedium-High
Five elements of quality				H	Iigh
Documentation:					
Researcher name and org	anization	ı :			
Secondary sources:					
Record the following: docume					
author or organization, date p	ublished, c	hapter or			
page, website (if relevant)					
Primary sources:					
For each of the above conduct	ed, record:				
- Interviewee/participant nan	ne(s) and ti	tle			
- Institution/company/organi	zation				
-Location and date of intervie	ew				

22. Compliance with social and environmental requirements in concession contracts

To what extent do concession-holders comply with social and environmental sustainability requirements in their contracts?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator assesses how contract-holders comply with environmental and social sustainability regulations in practice. It should be applied to the same concession(s) assessed in Indicator 21, although researchers should verify that these concessions are operating and have information available on implementation. Researchers should assess on-the ground compliance with provisions set out in the contract. If requirements do not exist, researchers should still attempt to answer the elements of quality below based on available information on implementation. Information should be collected via interviews with groups such as concession employees, local stakeholders impacted by operations, government agency staff responsible for oversight of concession operations, and if possible, by direct observation of concession operations. Reports on concession performance or monitoring may also provide useful information if available.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Impact assessment. Social and environmental impact assessments are completed and publicly disclosed.	Researchers should determine whether ESIAs were conducted for the concession(s) of interest. They should also note whether and how these documents were publicly disclosed.
2.	Community engagement. Equitable social agreements are established with local communities.	Researchers should identify any social agreements included in contracts and seek to verify whether these agreements have been implemented. For social agreements that relate to providing services such as schools, healthcare, sanitation, or employment, researchers may also wish to assess the quality and sustainability of the services provided; for example, whether new facilities are able to be maintained after the life of the concession project, or whether services are accessible to most community members. This information may be gathered through interviews with beneficiaries of social agreements as well as field observation.
3.	Mitigation. Appropriate avoidance and mitigation measures are implemented.	Researchers should identify any mitigation actions included in contracts and seek to verify whether these actions have been implemented.
4.	Monitoring. Social and environmental impacts are regularly monitored and reported on.	Researchers should identify any impact monitoring requirements included in contracts and seek to verify whether these actions have been implemented. In particular, researchers may wish to examine who conducted the monitoring, review the methods and process for monitoring, and interview independent experts as well as community groups to assess the accuracy of the monitoring reports.
5.	Response. Corrective measures are taken when negative social or environmental impacts are detected.	Corrective measures may include stopping or modifying project activities that are causing negative social or environmental impacts. Interviews with concessionaires, impacted populations, or government staff with oversight over concessions may indicate whether corrective measures have been taken and whether they are effective. Monitoring and performance reports may also provide this information; if reports for multiple years are available, comparing findings from year to year may also provide

insight into whether negative impacts are addressed in a timely
manner.

Object of assessment:			
		т.	
EOQ	Y/N	Explanation	
Impact assessment			
Community engagement			
Mitigation			
Monitoring			
Response			
Additional notes:			
Values			Select
Not applicable/assessed			
Zero to one elements of qual	lity		Low
Two elements of quality			Low-Medium
Three elements of quality			Medium
Four elements of quality			Medium-High
Five elements of quality			High
Documentation:			·
Researcher name and org	anization	:	
Secondary sources:			
Record the following: docume	nt or sourc	e title,	
author or organization, date p	ublished, c	hapter or	
page, website (if relevant)			
Primary sources:			
For each of the above conduct	ed, record:		
- Interviewee/participant nam			
- Institution/company/organi			
-Location and date of intervie			

23. Management of information about concessions

To what extent is information about concessions managed in an effective and transparent manner?

Indicator Guidance:

This indicator should be applied to a relevant system used to maintain information about concessions and their operations. Information systems may be individual platforms managed by sector agencies (e.g., forests, mining, agriculture), or an integrated platform for multiple sectors managed by a centralized land agency. Researchers should identify key sectors of interest and attempt to access the system for concession information. While it may not be practical or possible to assess all records within the system, researchers should identify a reasonable number of records to access—for example, sample records for different land use types or all records within a given geographic area of interest. Systems for managing concession information are often not publicly accessible; therefore, researchers should interview staff responsible for managing these systems or those who access them frequently. Government reports may also be of use.

Ele	ement of Quality	Guidance
1.	Legal basis. The legal	Researchers should review the legal framework for land use or for
	framework requires a public	the specific sector of interest (e.g., forests) to assess whether there
	registry of concessions.	are any mandatory requirements to establish an information
		system to manage concessions. For example, Mexico's mining laws
		require that any concession, allotment, agreement, or arrangement
		that may affect mining rights be recorded within the public registry.
2.	Centralized system.	Centralization of a public registry may refer to a single sector
	Records of concessions are	bringing together all information across geographic scales; it may
	maintained in a central public	also refer to multiple sectors bringing information together into a
	registry.	single registry in order to view all concessions. Researchers should
		assess whether any central systems exist and describe their
		contents. In particular, they may assess how information from
		subnational levels is put into a central system and whether there
		are staff responsible for maintaining the system.
3.	Digitized system. Records	Researchers should verify whether all current public concession
	are available in digital formats.	records are stored in digital format, or whether the information
		system relies on hard copies of documents. If the system includes
		both types of documentation, researchers could also assess how far
		back digitally available records go, and if there are any efforts to
		update older hard copies to ensure the entire system is stored
		online.
4.	Completeness. Records	Researchers should review the types of information that are stored
	contain comprehensive legal	for each concession record in the information system. Relevant
	and spatial information about	legal information may include a copy of the contract laying out
	the concession.	terms, rights, and conditions of the concessions, as well as records
		of compliance with laws relating to financial disclosure, payment of
		taxes and fees, and monitoring. Relevant spatial information may
		include concession boundaries, forest cover, and spatial plans
		detailing how the concession area will be used for different
		purposes.
5.	Accuracy. Records are	To assess accuracy of records, researchers will likely need to
	accurate and up-to-date.	conduct some field verification of information found within the
		concession information system, or work with government staff to

	learn how often information is put into the system and what protocols exist for ensuring it is up-to-date.
6. Accessibility . Records are freely accessible by the public.	Records may be publicly accessible online or by request in the offices of the agency responsible for administering the system. Researchers should keep track of their attempts to access these records and any challenges they encounter when requesting information.

23. Management of information about concessions			
Object of assessment:			
EOQ	Y/N	Explanation	
Legal basis	1/IN	Explanation	
Centralized system			
Digitized system			
Completeness			
Accuracy			
Accessibility			
Additional notes:			
Additional notes.			
Values			Select
Not applicable/assessed			
Zero to one elements of quality			Low
Two elements of quality			Low-Medium
Three elements of quality			Medium
Four elements of quality			Medium-High
Five or more elements of quality			High
Documentation:			
Researcher name and organi	zation	:	
Secondary sources:			
Record the following: document of	r sourc	e title,	
author or organization, date publ	ished, c	hapter or	
page, website (if relevant)			
Primary sources:			
For each of the above conducted,	record:		
- Interviewee/participant name(s) and ti	tle	
- Institution/company/organizati			
-Location and date of interview			